Downloaded from http://asa2.silverchair.com/anesthesiology/article-pdf/89/2/539/393974/0000542-199808000-00040.pdf by guest on 10 April 202-

and also because it was not focused on the potential side effects of the α -adrenergic vasoconstrictor, such as splanchnic vascular beds. After completion of the study, did the patients continue to receive both treatments (PhE plus inhaled NO), which resulted in a survival rate of 33.3%?

Eric Troncy, D.V.M.
Student
Gilbert Blaise, M.D.
Anesthesiologist, Associate Professor
Anesthesia Laboratory
Department of Anesthesia
CHUM
Centre Hospitalier de l'Université de
Montréal — Notre-Dame Campus
Montréal, Canada

References

- 1. Doering EB, Hanson CWIII, Reily DJ, Marshall C, Marshall BE: Improvement in oxygenation by phenylephrine and nitric oxide in patients with adult respiratory distress syndrome. Anesthesiology 1997; 87:18–25
- 2. Troncy E, Francoeur M, Salazkin I, Yang F, Charbonneau M, Leclerc G, Vinay P, Blaise G: Extra-pulmonary effects of inhaled nitric oxide in swine with and without phenylephrine. Br J Anaesth 1997; 79:631-40
- 3. Troncy E, Collet J-P, Shapiro S, Guimond J-G, Blair L, Charbonneau M, Blaise G: Should we treat acute respiratory distress syndrome with inhaled nitric oxide? (research letter) Lancet 1997; 350(9071):111-2
- 4. Pearl RG: Phenylephrine and inhaled nitric oxide in adult respiratory distress syndrome When are two better than one? (editorial) Anesthesiology 1997; 87:1-3
- 5. Dawson CA: Role of pulmonary vasomotion in the physiology of the lung. Physiol Rev 1984; 64:544-616
- 6. Kobayashi Y, Amenta F: Neurotransmitter receptors in the pulmonary circulation with particular emphasis on pulmonary endothelium. J Auton Pharmacol 1994; 14:137-64

- 7. Hebb C: Motor innervation of the pulmonary blood vessels of mammals, The Pulmonary Circulation and Interstitial Space. Edited by Fishman AP, Hecht HH. Chicago, University of Chicago Press, 1969, pp 195-217
- 8. Dodds WJ: The pig model for biomedical research. Fed Proc $1982;\,41:247-56$
- 9. Zellers TM, Vanhoutte PM: Heterogeneity of endothelium-dependent and independent responses among large and small porcine pulmonary arteries. Pulm Pharmacol 1989; 2:201–8
- 10. Rich S, Braunwald E, Grossman W: Pulmonary hypertension (Chapter 25), Heart Disease—A Textbook of Cardiovascular Medicine, 5th Edition. Edited by Braunwald E. Philadelphia, W.B. Saunders Company, 1997, pp 780–806
- 11. Goldring RM, Turino GM, Cohen G, Jameson AG, Bass BG, Fishman AP: The catecholamines in the pulmonary arterial pressor response to acute hypoxia. J Clin Invest 1962; 41:1211-21
- 12. Rich S, Gubin S, Hart K: The effects of phenylephrine on right ventricular performance in patients with pulmonary hypertension. Chest 1990; 98:1102-6
- 13. Fishman AP: The enigma of hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction, The Pulmonary Circulation: Normal and Abnormal. Edited by Fishman AP. Philadelphia, University of Pennsylvania Press, 1990, pp 109-29
- 14. Troncy E, Francœur M, Blaise G: Inhaled nitric oxide: Clinical applications, indications, and toxicology. Can J Anaesth 1997; 44:973-88
- 15. Dellinger RP, Zimmerman JL, Hyers TM, Taylor RW, Straube RC, Hauser DL, Damask MC, Davis K Jr, Criner GJ: Inhaled nitric oxide in ARDS: Preliminary results of a multicenter clinical trial (abstract). Crit Care Med 1996; 24:A29
- 16. Manktelow C, Bigatello LM, Hess D, Hurford WE: Physiologic determinants of the response to inhaled nitric oxide in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome. Anesthesiology 1997; 87:297-307
- 17. Puybasset L, Stewart TE, Rouby J-J, Cluzel P, Mourgeon E, Belin MF, Arthaud M, Landault C, Viars P: Inhaled nitric oxide reverses the increase in pulmonary vascular resistance induced by permissive hypercapnia in patients with ARDS. ANESTHESIOLOGY 1994; 80:1254–67

(Accepted for publication April 7, 1998.)

Anesthesiology 1998; 89:539 – 40 © 1998 American Society of Anesthesiologists, Inc. Lippincott–Raven Publishers

In Reply:—Thank you for the opportunity to respond to the thoughtful letter of Drs. Troncy and Blaise regarding our study.¹ They raise a number of valuable points as follows.

First, with regard to the effect of phenylephrine on the pulmonary vasculature, modeling of experimental data suggest that it is a result of direct vasoconstriction of small pulmonary arteries and effective enhancement of hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction. As Drs. Troncy and Blaise point out, experimental data are scarce; most studies use epinephrine and norephinephrine, not phenylephrine, to explore adrenergic effects. A Data from cats do identify an α -1-adrenergic effect of phenylephrine injected directly into

the pulmonary arterial circulation.⁵ However, we agree that extrapolations from one species to another regarding mechanisms are necessarily speculative.

Second, we note that the definition of "response" to treatment has evolved during the years. Our definition of "responders" (increase in $PaO_2 > 10$ mmHg) matched a criterion used by early researchers⁶; a ratio-based criterion (increase in $PaO_2 \ge 20\%$) is now more commonly in use. In all of our "nitric oxide responders," PaO_2 increased by at least 20%. In five of our six "phenylephrine responders," PaO_2 increased by 17–42% (mean 27%, median 25%). In the sixth patient, PaO_2 increased by only 13%. By contrast, in our "phenylephrine

CORRESPONDENCE

nonresponders," the fractional change in PaO_2 varied from -7% to +6% (mean 0.8%, median 1.5%). Therefore, whether reported as differences or as ratios, the PaO_2 changes reveal large disparities in responsiveness to phenylephrine. The patients' responses cluster in two groups along a continuum, presumably reflecting variations in the underlying pathophysiology.

We repeated our analysis, as described previously, counting only the five patients described herein as phenylephrine responders. Even with the smaller group, the data still showed higher PaO_2 values with the combination of phenylephrine plus nitric oxide than with either treatment alone (P < 0.05). This supports our conclusion that a subgroup of patients can be identified with a clinically significant PaO_2 response to phenylephrine and that these patients will show an additional response to the combination of phenylephrine plus nitric oxide.

We agree, as we noted in our article, that our study did not have the power to identify changes in other physiologic variables (such as cardiac output) that might help to explain the PaO_2 results. It is also possible that patients with sepsis might be phenylephrine "nonresponders." Our study did not address that last question, because we excluded all patients with septic physiology. We found that improvement in PaO_2 with phenylephrine decreased as adult respiratory distress syndrome became more severe (negative correlation with Murray* score, r=0.64, P<0.05). The clinical status of the subject is evidently an important determinant of response to treatment, which future studies may help to elucidate.

Drs. Troncy and Blaise suggest that the large variability in baseline values of PaO₂ reduced the power of our study. However, the variability was between patients not between measurements, so patterns of response to treatment could still be identified. Each patient provided a baseline before and after each measurement; patients reliably returned to their own baselines, with insignificant variation. Therefore, in analyzing the data, we were able to perform two-way analysis of variance to separate the effects of treatments from the differences between patients. (These parametric methods were warranted by the continuous nature of the data.)

We agree that our small group and even smaller subgroups do not constitute a statistically powerful sample. However, our results convince us that phenylephrine improves oxygenation in certain patients and that these improvements may be additive or synergistic with the effects of nitric oxide. This conclusion warrants further study in a larger population to explore the magnitude of the effects and their potential therapeutic usefulness.

Elana B. Doering, Ph.D., M.D.

Department of Anesthesiology

College of Physicians and Surgeons of Columbia University New York, New York

C. William Hanson, M.D.

Department of Anesthesia

Carol Marshall, Ph.D.

Bryan E. Marshall, M.D.

Center for Research in Anesthesia Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

References

- 1. Doering EB, Hanson CW, Reily DJ, Marshall C, Marshall BE: Improvement in oxygenation by phenylephrine and nitric oxide in patients with adult respiratory distress syndrome. Anesthesiology 1997; 87:18-25
- 2. Marshall BE, Chen L, Frasch HF, Hanson CW, Marshall C: Improved oxygenation with prostaglandin F_{2a} with and without inhaled nitric oxide in dogs. J Appl Physiol 1998; 84:1350-8
- 3. Dawson CA: Role of pulmonary vasomotion in physiology of the lung. Physiol Rev 1984; 64:544-616
- 4. Barman SA: Effect of catecholamines on pulmonary circulation at elevated vascular tone. J Appl Physiol 1995; 78:1452-8
- 5. Hyman AL, Kadowitz PJ: Evidence for existence of postjunctional $\alpha 1$ and $\alpha 2$ adrenoceptors in cat pulmonary vascular bed. Am J Physiol 1985; 249:H891–8
- 6. Rossaint R, Gerlach H, Schmidt-Ruhnke H, Pappert D, Lewandowski K, Steudel W, Falke KJ: Efficacy of inhaled nitric oxide in patients with severe ARDS. Chest 1995; 107:1107-15
- 7. Troncy E, Francoeur M, Blaise G: Inhaled nitric oxide: Clinical applications, indications, and toxicology. Can J Anaesth 1997; 44:973-88
- 8. Murray JF, Matthay MA, Luce JM, Flick MR: An expanded definition of the adult respiratory distress syndrome. Am Rev Respir Dis 1988; 138:720-3

(Accepted for publication April 7, 1998.)