f the distal end sport these pace, because we here anesthetic al.² reviewed "timing of the in an individual tubation." We longer than 1 h.

siology T, MC, M.D. By Senter

en questioned.

9-5000

NESTHESIOLOGY

private views or as reflecting Department of

al and palatal ct was of sev-

nde the procefiberoptic inent a copy of
ologist caring
by of the tape.
rway anatomy
e contribution

H. Owen-Reece, B.Sc., F.R.C.A. I. A. Calder, F.R.C.A.

Department of Neuroanaesthesia The National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery Queen Square, London WC1 3BG, United Kingdom

Reference

1. Mark L, Schauble J, Gibby G, Drake J, Turley S: Effective dissemination of critical airway information: The Medic Alert national difficult airway/intubation registry, Airway Management: Principles and Practice, Edited by Benumof J. New York, Mosby, 1995, pp 931-43

(Accepted for publication August 19, 1996.)

Anesthesiology 1996;85:1215 © 1996 American Society of Anesthesiologists, Inc. Lippincott–Raven Publishers

Unique Cause for 60-Cycle Interference

To the Editor: —Essential anesthesia monitoring equipment causes tremendous frustration of anesthesiologists and technicians when it does not work properly. Sixty-cycle electrical interference is a common problem encountered with electrocardiograph monitors in the operating room. Sixty-cycle noise usually originates from an external source, such as lights, motors, alternating current cables, etc. Noise may also originate from internal resistance within circuits or a broken 60-Hz filter. We had severe 60-Hz interference occurring in several operating rooms not traceable to any external source. All monitors in this operating room suite are older Datascope 2000 series units (Datascope, Paramus, NJ). Exhaustive evaluation of the monitors and cables could not locate the problem. Curiously, when inducing 60cycle interference with a simulator, some monitors blocked the noise, whereas other did not. By systematically swapping circuit boards from a good unit, we isolated the problem to the "Refresh Memory" board, not the electrocardiograph circuit board. After closer examination, we found the problematic circuit boards had a switch not described in the service manual. On some units, the switch ("S1") was in the IN position, which filters noise, and on other units, it was in the OUT position. Other units did not have this switch on the circuit

Our query prompted further research at Datascope that discovered this switch was incorporated into units originally intended for international shipment. Some countries have line voltage frequency 50 Hz or 60 Hz. Under the 50-Hz conditions, the frequency response to the

display must be changed from 40 Hz to 20 Hz. The internal switch limits the frequency response of beam deflection on the screen. Because the electronic filter controlled by this switch also filters 60-Hz noise, the manufacturer decided the switch should be in the "IN" position. Circuit boards labeled "K" (the company's designation) or higher have this switch.

In this cost-conscious era, we use monitors longer than ever before and frequently use older units in nonoperating room anesthesia sites. When anesthesia equipment malfunctions, our predictable response is to seek the purchase of new ones. Hopefully, this simple discovery will ease some of those 60-cycle headaches and add years of service to valuable equipment. In addition, with the replacement of an inexpensive picture tube and basic servicing, these popular and user friendly monitors can be brought back to crisp, "like new" condition.

Gary R. Haynes, Ph.D., M.D. Associate Professor

Andrew Lisicki, B.A.

Biomedical Engineering
Department of Anesthesia and Perioperative Medicine
Medical University of South Carolina
171 Ashley Avenue
Charleston, South Carolina 29425-2207

(Accepted for publication August 19, 1996.)

Anesthesiology 1996;85:1215-6 © 1996 American Society of Anesthesiologists, Inc Lippincott–Raven Publishers

In Reply:—The observations of the authors are correct, from the strictly empirical standpoint. I wish to note, however, that interference filters are most effective when the interference source is limited. (Sometimes easier said than done)

The obvious prevention is low impedance patient connection and minimal length cables, ideally placed at random angle (not parallel) to other power and signal cables. This technique minimizes the cross talk between noise sources.

The secondary defense against unwanted interference pick up and amplification is a good front-end amplifier, characterized by high Common Mode rejection characteristics. The 2000A monitor exhibits a 90–100 DB Common Mode rejection. This is the point where approximately 90% of the effective noise immunity is obtained. It is well worth the effort to test, calibrate, or repair this section before postprocessing filters are introduced.

Postprocessing filters, such as the one found in the monitor's Re-