bigs

iopental. This blockage with larger bore intravould caut; on our colarline solutions, or they ex-uronium."

. resiology and

atric Anesthesiolog licine pital

441000

287

ncuronium on the solh 34:87-89, 1987

4, 1995.)

eter between injections injecting neuromuscular daministering an intraph than that of the barrier pressure ventilation regardless whether about the induction of anesthesia and tracheal intubation desophageal anatomy.

n, M.D. ology gh School of Medicin[©] ogist

f Pittsburgh nia 15213-2583

il 4, 1995.)

CORRESPONDENCE

Anesthesiology 83:223, 1995 © 1995 American Society of Anesthesiologists, Inc. Lippincott–Raven Publishers

The Precipitation of Rocuronium in a Needleless Intravenous Injection Adaptor

To the Editor:—The mixture of sodium thiopental and rocuronium bromide (Zemuron) results in the immediate formation of a white precipitate. This can be avoided during anesthetic induction by adequately flushing the intravenous line after the injection of sodium thiopental, before the injection of rocuronium bromide. This conventional wisdom holds true for standard needle intravenous infusion systems but is confounded by newer adaptations that render the infusion system needleless.

I have observed on several occasions the formation of such a precipitate after sequential intravenous injection of sodium thiopental/rocuronium bromide through a needleless Y-injection site adaptor (Access pin with Safesite Valve by B. Braun Medical Inc.). It is apparent that a small aliquot of the initial injected drug, sodium thiopental, remains trapped in the void space of the needleless system adaptor. This small volume is not affected by subsequent flushing of the intravenous line, because the infusate never reaches the void

space. The injection of rocuronium bromide with its associated turbulence leads to the mixing of the two drugs within the needleless adaptor and causes the formation of the precipitate.

This reaction has resulted in the complete occlusion of two intravenous lines during anesthetic induction. Obviously, this is an inopportune time to be pressed to start a new intravenous infusion. With regard to the new needleless systems—let the buyer beware.

L. Molbegott, M.D.
Department of Anesthesiology
Monmouth Medical Center
Long Branch, New Jersey 07740-6395

(Accepted for publication May 31, 1995.)

Anesthesiology 83:223–224, 1995 © 1995 American Society of Anesthesiologists, Inc. Lippincott-Raven Publishers

Thoracic Sympathetic Blockade Does Not Imply Vagal Dominance

To the Editor:—We would like to congratulate Kamibayashi et al. on their interesting paper.1 However, we wish to offer an alternative view to one of their conclusions. The authors stated, "Sympathetic activity to the heart in epidurally anesthetized animals was significantly attenuated, while parasympathetic activity was not affected. Therefore, the activity in the parasympathetic nerve may be relatively dominant to sympathetic tone after epidural treatment, and this situation is similar to vagal nerve stimulation." Although it is intuitive to suggest that, when the sympathetic efferent nerves are blocked, a relative vagal dominance would exist, clinically this is not the case. Rather, in patients with a cardiac sympathectomy after high spinal block (T4-C7), 2.3 spectral analysis of heart rate variability results in a loss of both sympathetic and vagal components. This indicates a state of reduced sympathetic and vagal outflow⁴ and probably results from sympathetic afferent blockade to central neural centers.⁵ Therefore, the vagal outflow, which was not directly blocked by the anesthetic, was not sufficient to maintain normal heart rate variability.6 In their dog model of thoracic sympathectomy,1 the baroreceptor pressor response after epinephrine probably created a vagal dominant state, but we submit that this state would not exist in the absence of systemic hypertension. Therefore, we believe that sympathectomy of the heart alone does not necessarily result in a state of vagal dominance but that vagal dominance exists only after sympathetic blockade in the presence of vagal stimulation.

R. P. S. Introna, M.D.
J. K. Pruett, Ph.D.
D. C. Martin, M.D.
E. H. Yodlowski, Ph.D.
E. Grover
R. S. Crumrine, M.D.
Department of Anesthesiology
Medical College of Georgia
Augusta, Georgia 30912

References

1. Kamibayashi T, Hayashi Y, Mammoto T, Yamatodani A, Taenaka N, Yoshiya I: Thoracic epidural anesthesia attenuates halothane-induced myocardial sensitization to dysrhythmogenic effect of epinephrine in dogs. Anesthesiology 82:129–134, 1995

CORRESPONDENCE

- 2. Pruett JK, Yodlowski EH, Introna RPS, Buggay DS, Crumrine RS: The influence of spinal anesthetics on heart rate variations. Pharmacology (Life Sci Adv) 10:51–55, 1991
- 3. Introna R, Yodlowski E, Pruett J, Montano N, Porta A, Crumrine R: Sympathovagal effects of spinal anesthesia assessed by heart rate variability analysis. Anesth Analg 80:315–321, 1995
- 4. Malliani A, Pagani M, Lombardi F, Cerutti S: Cardiovascular neural regulation in the frequency domain. Circulation 84:482–492, 1991

Anesthesiology 83:224, 1995 © 1995 American Society of Anesthesiologists, Inc. Lippincott–Raven Publishers

In Reply:—We appreciate the useful suggestion to our study expressed by Introna et al. Based on their study using spectral analysis of heart rate variability, which showed that both sympathetic and parasympathetic activity reduced after cardiac sympathectomy with spinal block,1 we agree that vagal dominance after epidural anesthesia might not exist, although we did not evaluate sympathovagal balance after thoracic epidural blockade.2 In our dysrhythmogenic experiments,2 bilateral vagotomy did not affect the dysrhythmogenic threshold significantly in dogs without thoracic epidural blockade, suggesting that vagal stimulation alone induced by baroreceptor pressor response after epinephrine infusion was not enough to affect halothane-epinephrine dysrhythmias. However, vagal stimulation played a significant role in preventing the dysrhythmias in dogs with thoracic sympathetic blockade, although vagal outflow may have been reduced through the central nervous system.3 These observations suggested that sympathetic activity as well as sympathovagal balance might be important in the myocardial sensitization by halothane.

> Takahiko Kamibayashi, M.D. Staff Anesthesiologist Department of Anesthesiology Osaka University Medical School 2-2 Yamada-oka, Suita City Osaka 565, Japan

- 5. Introna RPS, Montano N, Yodlowski EH, Crumrine RS, Malliani A, Pruett JK: Cardiothoracic sympathetic afferent activity is necessary to maintain heart rate variability (HRV) in humans. FASEB J 9:A341, 1995
- 6. Malliani A, Lombardi F, Pagani M, Cerutti S: Power spectral analysis of cardiovascular variability in patients at risk for sudden cardiac death. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 5:274–286, 1994

(Accepted for publication April 4, 1995.)

Yukio Hayashi, M.D. Staff Anesthesiologist Department of Anesthesiology National Cardiovascular Center 1-5-7 Fujishiro-dai, Suita City Osaka 565, Japan

References

- 1. Introna R, Yodlowski E, Pruett J, Montano N, Porta A, Crumrine R: Sympathovagal effects of spinal anesthesia assessed by heart rate variability analysis. Anesth Analg 80:315–321, 1995
- 2. Kamibayashi T, Hayashi Y, Mammoto T, Yamatodani A, Taenaka N, Yoshiya I: Thoracic epidural anesthesia attenuates halothane-induced myocardial sensitization to dysrhythmogenic effect of epinephrine in dogs. Anesthesiology 82:129–134, 1995
- 3. Malliani A, Pagani M, Lombardi F, Cerutti S: Cardiovascular neural regulation in the frequency domain. Circulation 84:482–492, 1991

(Accepted for publication April 4, 1995.)

Anesthesiology 83:224–225, 1995 © 1995 American Society of Anesthesiologists, Inc. Lippincott-Raven Publishers

Parkinsonian Signs May Be Related to Bupivacaine Excess

To the Editor:—Muravchick and Smith described Parkinsonian signs in a patient after general anesthesia.¹ Of interest, bupivacaine was used, both for intercostal blockade as well as for wound infiltration in a total dose of 225 mg (45 ml of 0.5%). This is the maximum dose that can be used.² Indeed, Wood³ considers 2 mg/kg the highest

safe limit, which, in the reported case (80 kg), would have been 160 mg bupivacaine. The rate of injection and rapidity with which blood concentrations of bupivacaine are achieved can alter its toxicity signs. The use of epinephrine could have delayed the absorption of bupivacaine so that a toxic concentration would have been reached

CORRESPONDENCE

ally at the end of the procedure. Fur onclusion of the case (due to incomputed the central nervous system to a hapivacaine. The signs noticed in this ad prolonged emergence from anessed at the day of the signs in the signs in

M. A. Y. Elias, F.F. Pain Medicine and The University Cer Rehabilitation at 6410 Fannig, Suite Houston, Tegas 77

Aresthesiology
83-225, 1995
§ 1995 American Society of Anergy
Lippincott-Raven Publishers

In Reply:—Elias implies that the use town anesthesia we described an analestation of central nergous sy count facts do not support the hypotod bupivacaine did not exceed the commendation that is understandably of manifestations of local angesthetic than minutes, after intercostal nervown extensive clinical experience as their practice^{2,3}; and (3) the every been influenced significantly by the thation because continuous monitored and end-tidal carbon dioxide through the confirmed there was no evidence of hypercarbia presumed to expet by E

Stanles Mur Professor of A Department of University of

larsthesiology 22.

St. 225-226, 1995

É 1995 American Society of Anesthesion

Lipincott-Raven Publishers

Unantic

To the Editor:—A 47-yr-old wo princed difficult intubations with undergoing general endotracheal

Anesthesiology, V 83, No 1, Jul 19