to risk the misunderstandings and polarization that have characterized the abortion issue.

Vincent points out that the distinction between active and passive euthanasia is fuzzy. As others have emphasized, perhaps the fundamental question in the euthanasia debate is not whether our actions are "killing" (active) versus "allowing to die" (passive) but, rather, who is making the choice. As we move down the slippery slope from individuals choosing death for themselves to others choosing death for them, the ethical justifications become more difficult. Vincent shows just how far the Europeans have progressed down that slope.

Robert D. Truog, M.D. Charles B. Berde, M.D., Ph.D. Department of Anesthesia Children's Hospital MICU Office/Farley 517 300 Longwood Avenue Boston, Massachusetts 02115

Reference

1. Brock D: Voluntary active euthanasia. Hastings Cent Rep 22: 10-22, 1992

(Accepted for publication May 6, 1993.)

Anesthesiology 79:405, 1993 © 1993 American Society of Anesthesiologists, Inc. J. B. Lippincott Company, Philadelphia

Pitfalls in Performing Meta-analysis: I

To the Editor:—We read with interest the meta-analysis by Sorenson and Pace1 comparing the effects of regional and general anesthesia on morbidity and mortality in patients undergoing surgical repair of a femoral neck fracture. We have some concerns regarding the metaanalysis of 246 cases evaluated for the presence of deep venous thrombosis (DVT). The conclusions were based on data in the three articles by Davis et al., 2 Davis and Laurenson, 3 and McKenzie et al. 4 Unfortunately, the two studies by Davis et al. appear to contain overlapping DVT data, as is stated in the methods section of the 1981 paper.3 Also, although 132 patients were entered into the study, only 90 received labelled fibrinogen and only 76 produced "adequate scanning data" for analysis. Thus, only 116 cases (76 from Davis and Laurenson and 40 from McKenzie et al.) are available for analysis, not 246. If the 116 cases in the studies by Davis and Laurenson and Mackenzie et al. are pooled, DVT was diagnosed by 125I-fibringen scanning or venography in 46 of 59 general anesthetic patients (78%) and 25 of 57 subarachnoid block patients (43%). This may prove to be statistically significant, and we would be interested in the results of a reanalysis of the data.

Michael S. Higgins, M.D.

Fellow in Vascular and Thoracic Anesthesia Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine The Johns Hopkins Hospital 600 North Wolfe Street Baltimore, Maryland 21205 Judith L. Stiff, M.D.

Associate Professor Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine The Johns Hopkins School of Medicine Staff Anesthesiologist Francis Scott Key Medical Center 4940 Eastern Avenue Baltimore, Maryland 21224

References

- 1. Sorenson RM, Pace NL: Anesthetic techniques during surgical repair of femoral neck fractures: A meta-analysis. Anesthesiology 77: 1095–1104, 1992
- 2. Davis FM, Quince M, Laurenson VG: Deep vein thrombosis and anaesthetic technique in emergency hip surgery. BMJ 281:1528-1529, 1980
- 3. Davis FM, Laurenson VG: Spinal anaesthesia or general anaesthesia for emergency hip surgery in elderly patients. Anaesth Intensive Care 9:352–358, 1981
- 4. McKenzie PJ, Wishart HY, Gray I, Smith G: Effects of anaesthetic technique on deep vein thrombosis: A comparison of subarachnoid and general anaesthesia. Br J Anaesth 57:853–857, 1985.

(Accepted for publication May 7, 1993.)