

A1

TITLE: Postoperative Nystagmus and Emesis

AUTHORS: GE Larijani, PharmD, I Gratz, DO,
S Berman, PhD, S. Zwillenberg, MD

AFFILIATION: Departments of Anesthesiology,
Audiology, Otolaryngology and
Pharmacology, Medical College of PA,
Philadelphia, PA

INTRODUCTION: Nausea and vomiting are common
sequelae of general anesthesia particularly in
ambulatory patients. Temporary disturbances in
vestibular function have been implicated as a
causative factor in the development of
postoperative emesis. This study was designed to
detect the presence of postoperative nystagmus and
correlate this finding with postoperative emesis.

METHOD: Written informed consents were obtained
from ASA I-II ambulatory patients scheduled for
elective surgical procedures under general
anesthesia to participate in this IRB approved
study. No attempt was made to standardize the
premedication or the anesthetic technique except
for exclusion of perioperative antiemetics. In the
recovery room and the short procedure unit, the
patients were tested for the presence of nystagmus
using a standard electronystagmograph (ENG). Two
electrodes were placed lateral to the outer canthus
of the eye with a ground electrode placed on the
forehead. The ENG was calibrated for each reading
by asking the patient to look at 2 different
targets placed 4 feet away at 30 degrees to the
left and to the right. Eye motion was measured by
asking the patient to follow the movement of a pen
from side to side (pendular tracking) and after
closing the eyes and moving the head side to side
(Hallpike maneuver). Patients were frequently
observed for the presence of nausea and vomiting
while in the hospital. A telephone call 24 hrs
later was also made for evaluation of emetic
symptoms at home. Data was analyzed using 95% CI
and is reported as mean (SD).

RESULTS: 42 patients (31 female) participated in
this study. All patients except one had received
thiopental, N₂O/O₂, midazolam, isoflurane, fentanyl
and a muscle relaxant for their anesthetic
management. As expected significantly more female
than male patients complained of postoperative
nausea in the hospital (13 of 31 vs. 1 of 11, 95%
CI = 8.6% to 57.1%) or at home (11 of 31 vs. 1 of
11, 95% CI = 2.5% to 50.3%). Furthermore,
significantly more patients complaining of
postoperative nausea in the hospital had nystagmus
than those who did not (10 of 14 vs. 8 of 28, 95%
CI = 14% to 72%). In addition, significantly more
patients who had nystagmus complained of emesis at
home as compared to those who did not have
nystagmus (9 of 18 vs. 3 of 24, 95% CI = 11% to
64%).

DISCUSSION: Postoperative nystagmus is
significantly more common in patients who complain
of postoperative nausea and vomiting during the
first postoperative day. The presence of nystagmus
in these patients is another indication that
temporary disturbances in vestibular function
caused by anesthetics is an important factor in the
development of postoperative emesis.

A2

VOMITING AFTER ALFENTANIL - EFFECT OF DOSING METHOD
G Okum MD, P Colonna-Romano MD, JC Horrow MD
Dept. of Anesthesiology, Hahnemann Univ, Phila., PA 19102

Administration of alfentanil by infusion, as opposed to intermit-
tent bolus, permits more rapid awakening and less frequent use of
naloxone.¹ Nausea and vomiting (NV) also may be related to the
mode of administration. We tested this hypothesis with a double
blind, randomized study in patients at high risk for NV.²⁻³

METHODS

With IRB approval, 40 women for elective lower abdominal
gynecologic or laparoscopic procedures, ASA I or II, gave
informed consent for sequential randomization to a bolus (B) or an
infusion (I) group. All patients received iv glycopyrrolate, metho-
hexital, and vecuronium at induction of anesthesia. N₂O in O₂
and vecuronium maintained anesthesia and muscle relaxation.
Group B patients received alfentanil 30 µg·kg⁻¹ iv push on induc-
tion followed by 10 µg·kg⁻¹ iv push every 10 min until conclusion
of their procedure. Group I received alfentanil as 30 µg·kg⁻¹ over
1 min, followed by 1 µg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ until conclusion of surgery.
During recovery, a nurse blinded to the patient's group assignment
assessed her for the presence of NV, need for anti-emetics, and
prolonged recovery room (PACU) stay. Patients who vomited
more than once or who complained of nausea after vomiting
received prochlorperazine or benzquinimide. Prolonged PACU stay
was defined as >2 hrs. Two-tailed unpaired Student's t-test
compared demographic data. Multinomial logistic regression ana-
lyzed the contributions of group, kind of surgery, and their inter-
action on NV, anti-emetic use, and prolonged PACU stay.

RESULTS

Patient groups did not differ with respect to demographic vari-
ables. Laparoscopy accounted for 16 procedures; the remaining
24 featured either an abdominal or vaginal incision. Multinomial
logistic regression identified strong effects of both group and kind
of surgery on NV: patients in the infusion group suffered a higher
incidence of NV, as did laparoscopy patients. Infusion of alf-
entanil during laparoscopy combined synergistically to cause NV
(Table). Type of surgery and method of alfentanil administration
did not affect the need for anti-emetic. Four patients experienced
prolonged PACU stay: all underwent laparoscopy; 3 received alf-
entanil by infusion. Laparoscopic surgery (P<.0001 v. incisional)
and infusion of alfentanil (P<.02 v. bolus) prolonged PACU stay.

Table. Incidence of nausea with vomiting after alfentanil

GROUP:	BOLUS	INFUSION	TOTAL
Laparoscopy:	6 of 10	6 of 6 [†]	12 of 16* (75%)
Incisional:	0 of 10 [‡]	4 of 14	4 of 24* (17%)
TOTAL:	6 of 20† (30%)	10 of 20†(50%)	16 of 40

*P<.0001 laparoscopy v. incisional; †P<.0001 bolus v. infusion

‡P<.0001 synergistic effect of infusion with laparoscopy

DISCUSSION

These data confirm increased NV following laparoscopy.^{2,3} Why
is infusion, not bolus administration of alfentanil, associated with
more post-operative NV? Perhaps intermittent administration per-
mits sporadic egress of opioid from medullary sites responsible
for NV. Although previous work showed no difference (bolus v.
infusion) in anti-emetic requirement after alfentanil,¹ that study
comprised only 10 patients per group, none undergoing laparo-
scopy, and utilized higher doses of alfentanil (~150 µg/kg). Also,
anti-emetic use does not always follow NV. Many patients are
nauseated without vomiting or vomit once with relief of nausea.
Is NV a problem of sufficient magnitude to prolong PACU stay?
Since only 4 patients (25% of those nauseated) experienced a pro-
longed PACU stay, these data cannot answer that question, nor
any possible benefit of anti-emetic prophylaxis. Alfentanil is
associated with a high incidence of NV during laparoscopy in
women, particularly when given by continuous infusion.

References:

1. Anesthesiology 68:851,1988;
2. Can Anaesth Soc J 31:178,1984; 3. Anaesthesia 41:537,1986.