TITLE: EPINEPHRINE IMPROVES THE QUALITY

OF BUPIVACAINE SPINAL ANESTHESIA

AUTHORS: RL Carpenter, MD, HS Smith, MD, and

JP Guinard, MD

AFFIL: Anes. Dept., Virginia Mason Med Ctr,

Seattle, WA 98111

Previous studies suggest that epinephrine may not prolong the duration of bupivacaine spinal anesthesia (1). This study is designed to evaluate epinephrine's effect on anesthetic quality.

After approval by our institutional review board, 61 patients undergoing TURP were prospectively randomized into two groups: Group I received 6 mg of bupivacaine, 66 mg dextrose, and 0.2 ml of sterile water (total vol 1.0 ml). In Group II, 0.2 mg of epinephrine (in 0.2 ml) was substituted for the water. Observers were blinded. Spinal technique was standardized. Sensory (pin prick) and motor blockade (sum of hips, knees, & ankles with complete blockade) were assessed at standard intervals. The incidence of pain at the operative site was noted. Differences were evaluated by Fishers exact test, Chi-Square, and unpaired t-test.

Addition of epinephrine resulted in a significant decrease in the incidence of pain at the operative site (Table 1). All episodes of pain were mild in nature and resolved with intravenous fentanyl. There were no differences between groups in age, height, weight, ASA status, dose of premedication, or surgery time. Time to 2-segment regression was not different (I = 77  $\pm$  25, II = 81  $\pm$  29 min, mean  $\pm$  SD)

Although epinephrine did not prolong duration, it improved the quality of spinal anesthesia. Addition of 0.2 mg of epinephrine reduced the incidence of painful sensations from 16% to 0%. Increasing the dose of bupivacaine would probably produce similar results. However, similar studies with tetracaine suggest that increasing the dose of local anesthetic also increases the incidence of hemodynamic perturbations (2).

Table 1. Comparison of Epinephrine and H20 Groups Highest Maximum Group Onset Painful Sensory Motor to T10 Sensations Level **Blockade** (min) (yes/no) T6 ± 2  $2.7 \pm 1.5$  $4 \pm 2$ 5/26\* (H2O) 11 T5 ± 2 0/30\*  $5 \pm 2$  $3.3 \pm 4.0$ (Epi) \*P=.03

Ref's: 1. Chambers WA: Anesth Analg 61:49, 1982 2. Carpenter RL: Anesthesiology 71:33, 1989

## A758

TITLE: INTRATHECAL CLONIDINE AND THE RESPONSE

TO HEMORRHAGE

AUTHORS: J.C. Eisenach, M.D.

AFFILIATION: Section of Obstetric Anesthesia, Wake

Forest University Medical Center,

Winston-Salem, NC 27103

INTRODUCTION: Intraspinally administered clonidine represents a new approach to pain therapy in obstetric patients. Clonidine may decrease blood pressure by diminishing sympathetic nervous system activity, and this effect could interfere with maintenance of blood pressure during hemorrhage.

METHODS: At least 5 days following surgical insertion of arterial and intrathecal catheters, 5 sheep received lumbar intrathecal injections of saline or clonidine, 300 µg. Fifteen min later, 20% of estimated blood volume was removed over 10 min. Arterial blood was sampled prior to injection, immediately prior to and at the end of hemorrhage, and at 10 and 60 min following the end of hemorrhage and analyzed for blood gas tensions, atrial natriuretic peptide, catecholamines, plasma renin activity, and vasopressin. Blood pressure and heart rate were conmonitored throughout. Blood was reinfused 1 hr following hemorrhage and the companion experiment (saline or clonidine) performed at least 48 hrs later. Groups were compared by one-way ANOVA, with P < 0.05 significant.

RESULTS: Compared to saline, clonidine decreased blood pressure at rest (by 10±2%) but increased blood pressure following hemorrhage (Fig. 1). Plasma norepinephrine increased following hemorrhage in both

groups, but ☐ SALINE this increase 100 CLONIDINE was less in the clonidine-80 treated ewes (plasma nor-60 epinephrine following 40 hemorrhage 0.45±0.13 in 20 clonidine BASELINE P-INJECTION HEMORRHAGE group VS. \* P ( 0.05 vs SALINE 1.10±0.57

ng/ml in FIG. 1: Blood Pressure Response
saline group; to Hemorrhage
P < 0.05). Groups did not differ in other
parameters.

DISCUSSION: Clonidine exerts opposing actions on blood pressure, decreasing it by inhibiting sympathetic nervous system activity, and increasing blood pressure by peripheral vasoconstriction. In the resting state, clonidine-induced sympathetic inhibition predominates and blood pressure decreases. In contrast, during periods of near maximal hemodynamic stress, such as occur following acute, major hemorrhage, clonidine minimally alters hormonal responses, but supports blood pressure by peripheral vasoconstriction. 1

## REFERENCES:

1. Br J Pharmacol 97:419-432, 1989.

Supported in part by GM35523.