
Anesthesiology 67:1022, 1987

## Use of an Endotracheal Tube Without Radiopaque Marker for Cervical CT-scans

To the Editor:—We have anesthetized several pediatric patients undergoing cervical CT-myelography. One radiologist asked whether the beam-hardening artifact



FtG. 1. Transverse CT-scan of cervical myelography at C5 level. The artifact is observed to radiate from radiopaque marker of the tracheal tube.




FIG. 2. Transverse CT-scan of cervical myelography at C5 level in a different patient. No artifact was observed when our hand-made tube was used.

or the fringe radiating from the endotracheal tube (fig. 1) could be reduced to obtain clear CT-scans. The artifact was assumed to be originating from the x-ray detectable marker of the tracheal tube (Blueline, Portex, England). However, a tracheal tube without a radiopaque marker was not available, inasmuch as ISO 5361/1-1984 stated that tracheal tubes should be readily detectable by x-ray either by the nature of the material of which they are made or by the provision of a marker on the tube.\* Therefore, we decided to use commonly used esophageal stethoscopes (Portex, England) for tracheal tubes. The esophageal stethoscope made of polyvinyl chloride was cut to an appropriate length. They were kept in a curved position for 4 h while the plasticizer was eluted with anhydrous alcohol. After the tubes had become rigid and set in a curved position, the bevel's surface was smoothed by treatment with tetrahydrofuran. Our special tubes did not interfere with CT-scans (fig. 2). We suggest the use of endotracheal tubes without the radiopaque marker in cases such as that described above.

CHIKARA TASHIRO, M.D. Associate Professor

MASAHARU YAGI, M.D. Instructor

Department of Anesthesiology Osaka University Medical School Osaka 553, Japan

HISAO KINOSHITA
Technical Manager and Clinical Engineer
Japan Medico Co., Ltd.
Nagoya 465, Japan
(Accepted for publication September 1, 1987.)

Anesthesiology 67:1022-1023, 1987

## Epidural Anesthesia and Analgesia in High-risk Surgical Patients. I.

To the Editor:—As a surgeon, I have long been interested in the reduction of surgical complications, but available measures are few and, generally, only margin-

ally effective. Both the recent article by Yeager *et al.*<sup>1</sup> and its accompanying editorial<sup>2</sup> suggest a major advantage of epidural anesthesia and analgesia in high-risk

<sup>\*</sup> International Organization for Standardization: Tracheal tubes —Part 1: General Requirements. ISO 5361/1-1984(E), First edition, 1984, pp 1-3