Authors: P. G. Morgan, M.D.(1), M. M. Sedensky, M.D.(1),

H. F. Cascorbi, M.D., Ph.D.(1) and P. M. Meneely, Ph.D.(2)

Affiliation: (1)Department of Anosthesia, Case Western Reserve University,

University Hospitals of Cleveland, Cleveland, Ohio 44106 and

(2)Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Scattle, Washington 98104.

INTRODUCTION: We are attempting to understand the mechanism of action of volatile anesthetics by means of a simple animal model-the nematode, Caenorhabditis elegans (C.e.). We are studying the effect of genetic variation on sensitivity to a wide range of anesthetics. We have previously shown that the wild type strain (Nz) of C.e. responds to volatile anesthetics like more complex animals(1). our earlier work we also found a mutant with altered anesthetic sensitivity and thus began the analyses necessary to isolate gene products that control anesthetic response. This strain was identified as a previously known mutant, unc-79(2). We have now identified a second gene, unc-80, which also causes altered sensitivities to several anesthetics. We present here additional data describing the response of N2 and unc-79 to di-ethylether and the very lipid soluble agent, thiomethoxyflurane. In addition, we describe the responses of unc-80 and a strain containing both mutations, unc-79 and unc-80, to nine anesthetics. We also examine the relationship of the potencies to the oil gas partition coefficients (O/Ga) for these anesthetics.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Nematodes: C.e. strains N2 and unc-80 were obtained from the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center in Columbia, Missouri. unc-79 was generated as previously described⁽¹⁾.

Cultures: Cultures were prepared as previously described⁽¹⁾ except that we now prepare the growth agar without the addition of uracil. This allows for a thinner lawn of E.coli on the plates. Under these conditions the nematodes are more easily visualized and move more vigorously.

Dose-Response Curves: Dose-response studies were performed as previously described. (1) Each study consisted of at least twenty different concentrations of anesthetic, with at least fifty individuals counted at each concentration. Data were analyzed by the methods described by Waud(3).

RESULTS: We studied the quantitative response of four strains to eight anesthetics. The mean ED50s with standard errors are presented in the table. As previously noted with N2 and unc-79 (labeled HS1 in our previous report), the ED50s for unc-80 and the double mutant unc-79;unc-80 tended to increase as the (O/G₃)s of the anesthetics decreased. The responses fell into four groups.

1). For the highly lipid soluble anesthetics (thiomethoxyflurane [TMOF], methoxyflurane [MOF], chloroform [CH], halothane [H]), unc-79, unc-80, and the double mutant unc-79;unc-80 were all hypersensitive compared to N2. unc-79 was consistently more sensitive than unc-80, while the double mutant was very similar to unc-79 in these anesthetics.
2). For enflurane (E) and flurothyl (FLR), unc-79 and unc-80 were resistant compared to N2, unc-79 being more resistant than unc-80. The double mutant had an ED50 similar to N2 in both cases.

- 3). For isoflurane (ISO) and fluroxene (FLX), unc-79 and unc-80 showed a small increase in sensitivity compared to N2. The double mutant showed a slight increase in sensitivity compared to either single mutant.
- 4). In diethylether (DE), unc-79, unc-80 and unc-79;unc-80 all showed equal and moderate increases in sensitivity.

DISCUSSION: All three mutant strains showed large increases in sensitivities compared to N2 when exposed to the very lipid soluble anesthetics. In contrast any differences seen in the less lipid soluble anesthetics were small. The ln-ln plot of potencies vs. (O/G_a) s for these anesthetics closely approximates a straight line with slope -1 for N2. The Meyer-Overton model of anesthesia depends on this relationship. However, in each of the mutant strains there is a discontinuity between enflurane $(O/G_a = 98)$ and halothane $(O/G_a = 224)$. We interpret the alteration in sensitivities as indicative of an alteration at the locus of action of volatile anesthetics.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Morgan PG, Cascorbi HF: Effect of anesthetics and a convulsant on normal and mutant Caenorhabditis elegans. Anesthesiology 62:738-744, 1985.
- 2. Sedensky MM, Meneely PM: Genetic analysis of halothane sensitivity in Caenorhabditis elegans. Science, In Press.
- 3. Waud DR: On biological assays involving quantal responses. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 183:577-607, 1972.

	TABLE			
	N2	unc-79	unc-80	unc-79 unc-80
THOF	0.29	0.09	0.15	0.08
	±0.04	±0.03	±0.03	±0.05
MOF	0.58	0.28	0.46	0.25
	±0.02	±0.05	±0.03	±0.10
CH	1.47	0.50	0.80	0.54
	±0.02	±0.03	±0.02	±0.03
п	3.18	0.98	1.20	0.72
	±0.04	<u>+</u> 0.02	±0.02	±0.02
R	5.89	6.24	6.06	5.82
	±0.08	±0.07	±0.07	<u>+</u> 0.07
ISO	7.18	6.67	6.14	5.84
	±0.07	±0.08	±0.07	<u>+</u> 0.07
DE	7.53	5.70	5.84	5.60
	±0.07	±0.06	±0.06	<u>+</u> 0.06
FLX	10.8	10.1	10.4	9.9
	±0.07	±0.07	±0.07	<u>+</u> 0.07
FLR	14.3	15.9	14.9	14.5
	±0.10	±0.11	±0.10	<u>+</u> 0.08

Legend: ED50s + SE for four strains of C.elegans in nine enesthetics.
For abbrevations, see text.