Anesthesiology 63:459, 1985

Heel Sores in Association with Prolonged Epidural Analgesia

To the Editor:—Increasing awareness of the shortcomings of conventional postoperative analgesia has led to a search for alternatives to intramuscular narcotics. Many regional techniques have been used with success in this situation, including epidural analgesia. We have used continuous epidural infusions of bupivacaine for analgesia following knee replacement in more than 60 patients with excellent results. We would, however, like to report an unusual complication.

The patient was a 68-year-old woman with rheumatoid arthritis admitted for total left knee replacement. This was carried out uneventfully under epidural analgesia with the blockade extended into the postoperative period by an infusion of bupivacaine 0.25%. The infusion was continued for a total of 5 days, during which time the infusate concentration was reduced progressively to 0.1% bupivacaine. This produced total pain relief for the duration of the infusion. The patient made excellent progress, such that the surgical team decided to proceed straightaway to knee replacement on the contralateral side. A similar technique was used for surgery and postoperative analgesia, but on this occasion the block was partially unilateral and the total analgesia of the first operation was more elusive. The patient continued to receive 0.25% bupivacaine, which produced a dense motor block in both legs. When the infusion was terminated on the fifth day, the patient complained of pain in both heels. On examination it was noticed that she had extensive pressure blisters develop on the dorsum of both heels because of the immobility engendered by the dense motor block. These

were treated with dry dressings and subsequent incision and the patient was discharged home on the fifteenth postoperative day with the ulcers dry and healing well.

Pressure sores can develop in any dependent area immobile from whatever cause. This is most often paralysis due to neural dysfunction, either central or peripheral, and in this situation there is considerable awareness of the need for appropriate nursing care of pressure areas. Although we had noticed the development of motor blockade in our patient, neither the medical or the nursing staff had taken the appropriate precautions to avoid the development of pressure blisters.

As continuous epidural analgesia finds greater applications and is utilized more extensively, impeccable care of pressure areas must be practiced if sores and blisters are to be avoided in the face of dense motor blockade.

> CHARLES E. PITHER, F.F.A.R.C.S. CRAIG J. HARTRICK, M.D. PRITHVI P. RAJ, F.F.A.R.C.S. Pain Control Centre University of Cincinnati Medical Centre Bethesda Avenue Cincinnati, Ohio 45267

REFERENCE

 Raj PP, Knarr D, Hartrick CJ, Pither CE, Denson DD, Hopson CN: The efficacy of continuous bupivacaine infusions for postoperative pain relief, ANESTHESIOLOGY 61:A188, 1984

(Accepted for publication April 29, 1985.)

Anesthesiology 63:459-460, 1985

An Even Simpler Way to Determine Drug Infusion Rates

To the Editor:—I read with interest the letter by Professor Tanaka, and I agree that it is desirable to be able to read the dose of a drug infused from the numbers displayed on the infusion controller. I would like to suggest a simpler means of calculating the dose of a drug to be added to an infusion without reliance on nomograms or unwieldy formulae, such as have been suggested in this Journal. 1-3

If the patient's weight is W kg, then by adding 6 times W in milligrams to a burette or infusion device, and making the volume up to 100 ml, then the setting of the drip controller in ml/h will be numerically the same as the dose of the drug administered in $\mu g \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot min^{-1}$.

If the infusion controller acts not as a volumetric pump, but as a drip counter, then again using the above dilutions and by using a drip set that delivers 60 drops/ml, then exactly the same result arises, *i.e.*, drops/min is equivalent to $\mu g \cdot k g^{-1} \cdot min^{-1}$ numerically.

Example: If in a 70-kg man, one wishes to infuse a drug at $5 \mu \text{g} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$, add $6 \times 70 \text{ mg}$ of drug to device and make up to 100 ml. Set device at 5 ml/h, or if using a 60 drop/ml burette, 5 drops/min.

If one wishes to halve the initial infusion volume, then one can half the dose of drug to the solvent and, similarly, one can increase the volume and dose of drug added while maintaining the same concentration. This would enhance economical use of expensive drugs that may only have short lives in solution, e.g., sodium nitroprusside.

Dr. E. P. MCKIERNAN Department of Anaesthetics Christchurch Public Hospital Christchurch, New Zealand

REFERENCES

- 1. Tanaka K: A simple nomogram for determining drug infusion rates. ANESTHESIOLOGY 62:99, 1985
- Webb TD: Intravenous infusing: Making life easy. ANESTHE-SIOLOGY 59:482, 1983
- Kondo K: Vasoactive drug infusion: Making life easy. ANESTHE-SIOLOGY 60:617, 1984

(Accepted for publication May 21, 1985.)

Anesthesiology 63:460, 1985

A Caution on Vecuronium Priming

To the Editor:—We read with interest the recent report by Schwarz et al.¹ on the priming principle for tracheal intubation with vecuronium.

Schwarz et al. caution that a 20 μ g/kg priming dose may cause significant paralysis if administered to awake patients. They imply that a 15 μ g/kg dose is safe, since in their study of 10 awake premedicated patients, the patients "experienced no discomfort."

Engbaek et al.² recently studied the effects of 15 μ g/kg vecuronium on awake nonpremedicated patients and found decreases in train-of-four to 0.57, 0.58, 0.59, and 0.82 with considerable subjective symptoms in four patients. This prompted them to discontinue their study at this dose range before including the 10 patients anticipated. They conclude that doses higher than 10 μ g/kg are not well tolerated and they report a patient in whom 5 μ g/kg caused a dangerous degree of paralysis.

We agree with Schwarz et al. that whenever a priming technique is used, provisions for immediate induction of anesthesia in patients intolerant of this procedure is mandatory. Administering the priming dose immediately after the intravenous has been started, as suggested, may be imprudent if the patient is not yet in the operating room or may not be closely watched.

In those patients who require rapid-sequence inductions

in whom succinylcholine is contraindicated, we recommend the use of a single large dose (0.2 mg/kg)³ of vecuronium on induction.

Further studies are necessary to determine what priming doses are well tolerated and whether these reduced doses confer a significant enough hastening of relaxation to justify their risk and the increased time necessary for induction.

MITCHEL SOSIS, M.D., PH.D. Assistant Professor of Anesthesiology Thomas Jefferson University Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107

REFERENCES

- Schwarz S, Ilias W, Lackner F, Mayhofer O, Foldes FF: Rapid tracheal intubation with vecuronium: The priming principle. ANESTHESIOLOGY 62;388-391, 1985
- Engbaek J, Howardy-Hansen P, Ording H, Viby-Mogensen J: Precurarization with vecuronium and pancuronium in awake, healthy volunteers: The influence on neuromuscular transmission and pulmonary function. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 29: 117-120, 1985
- 3. Bencini A, Newton DEF: Rate of onset of good intubating conditions, respiratory depression and hand paralysis after vecuronium. Br J Anaesth 56:959-965, 1984

(Accepted for publication May 21, 1985.)

Anesthesiology 63:460-461, 1985

Concerning the Acceptability of Awareness during Surgery

To the Editor:—In his editorial, "Awareness during Surgery," Blacher¹ supports the view expressed by Bogetz and Katz² concerning a willingness to accept that total oblivion of consciousness in traumatized patients may not

always be achievable. We object to these statements since the American Society of Anesthesiologists guidelines for patient care note that Anesthesiology includes "the management of procedures for rendering a patient insensible