

Title : CONCENTRATING EFFECT OF N_2O ON ALVEOLAR PO_2 IN LOW \dot{V}_A/\dot{Q} REGIONS

Authors : R. Dueck, M.D. and P. D. Wagner, M.D.

Affiliation: Departments of Anesthesiology and Internal Medicine, University of California, San Diego and the Veterans Administration Medical Center, San Diego, California 92161.

Introduction. Continuing uptake of nitrous oxide (50-60% constant inspired concentration) was recently observed to substantially raise calculated alveolar PO_2 in low \dot{V}_A/\dot{Q} regions of patients for as long as 4 hours after induction (personal observation). To what extent this phenomenon could impair recognition of \dot{V}_A/\dot{Q} inequality by blood gas measurements was not known. Therefore we examined the effect of known volumes of N_2O uptake on alveolar and arterial PO_2 in the sheep, for a modest range of \dot{V}_A/\dot{Q} inequality.

Methods. Alveolar PO_2 values were calculated for 30% (5 sheep) and 40% (1 sheep) inspired oxygen concentrations in compartments of $\dot{V}_A/\dot{Q} = 0.005$ to 100.0, using a computer program based on that of West (1). This program incorporated measured values of hemoglobin, hematocrit, $p50$, mixed venous PO_2 , PCO_2 , pH, nitrous oxide and halothane tensions, and derived distributions of \dot{V}_A/\dot{Q} ratios (Ridge regression analysis). In addition, the resulting systemic arterial PO_2 was computed and compared with measured values of arterial PO_2 . For comparison, alveolar and systemic arterial PO_2 values were computed at the same F_{IO_2} for the same \dot{V}_A/\dot{Q} distributions, but replacing N_2O and halothane with nitrogen as the balance gas. Ideal alveolar PO_2 values, determined with nitrogen as the balance gas, were then compared to those computed with N_2O and halothane as the balance gases.

Results. In 21 distributions of \dot{V}_A/\dot{Q} ratios, with shunt ranging from 1.7 to 23.3% of cardiac output, blood flow to low \dot{V}_A/\dot{Q} regions from 0.0 to 46.0%, and \dot{V}_A/\dot{Q} inequality (standard deviation of the distribution of blood flow) from 0.52 to 1.29, calculated alveolar PO_2 in the presence of N_2O and halothane for units with $\dot{V}_A/\dot{Q} < 0.5$ exceeded those of the same units when these gases were not breathed. The amount of increase in alveolar PO_2 depended on: (1) the \dot{V}_A/\dot{Q} ratio, and (2) the rate of anesthetic gas uptake, especially N_2O . This is illustrated by comparing alveolar PO_2 values from 2 different distributions (see Figure 1A and B) both having comparable values for inspired gas concentrations, shunt, blood flow to low \dot{V}_A/\dot{Q} regions and cardiac output. Note that the calculated rise in alveolar PO_2 of low \dot{V}_A/\dot{Q} units when replacing nitrogen with N_2O and halothane depends greatly on the rate of uptake of N_2O . This produced rises in the expected arterial PO_2 from 5.2 to 56.7 torr, with a linear relationship being demonstrated for \dot{V}_{N_2O} (x) and the rise in arterial PO_2 (y)

such that $y = 7.365x + 87.183$, regression coefficient = 0.80. See Table I for mean \pm 1 S.D. values. The ideal alveolar-arterial PO_2 gradient, with ideal \dot{V}_A/\dot{Q} ratios ranging from 0.114 to 0.969, demonstrated 3.3 to 35.4 torr underestimation of (A-a) PO_2 when anesthetic gas uptake was not accounted for.

Discussion. Comparison of alveolar and arterial PO_2 values when nitrogen rather than N_2O and halothane are the balance gases has indicated that continued uptake of anesthetic gases, especially N_2O , can substantially raise alveolar PO_2 in poorly ventilated but perfused lung regions. This finding implied that standard clinical estimates of \dot{V}_A/\dot{Q} inequality such as arterial PO_2 and alveolar-arterial PO_2 gradients, without knowledge of the amount of blood flow to low \dot{V}_A/\dot{Q} units and the volume of uptake of anesthetic gases, may seriously underestimate the degree of ventilation-perfusion mismatching during anesthesia.

References.

1. West JB: Ventilation-perfusion inequality and overall gas exchange in computer models of the lung. *Respir Physiol* 7: 88-110, 1969.

TABLE I

N	F_{IO_2}	Ideal (A-a) PO_2		Predicted P_{aO_2}		N_2O Uptake (ml/min)
		Nitrogen	N_2O +Halo	Nitrogen	N_2O +Halo	
3	0.4	41.2 \pm 31.8	72.1 \pm 23.5	136.0 \pm 12.8	163.9 \pm 13.0	268.3 \pm 93.3
18	0.3	35.2 \pm 10.5	*50.3 \pm 06.3	110.8 \pm 14.2	*132.92 \pm 26.70	256.9 \pm 125.3

*significant difference $p < 0.01$, paired t analysis

