Quadratus Lumborum Block in Total Hip Replacement: Comment

To the Editor:

We read with great interest the article by Brixel et $al.^1$ entitled "Posterior Quadratus Lumborum Block in Total Hip Arthroplasty" published in May 2021 in ANESTHESIOLOGY. We appreciate the authors' great work; however, after reading the article carefully, we also found some worthwhile issues that we would like to raise to the investigators.

First, the authors mentioned that this study was a double-blind trial. Contrary to the authors' claim, the patients were not blinded. Since the authors graphically mapped cutaneous sensory loss in figure 4 in the article, patients in the ropivacaine group were aware that they had been injected with a local anesthetic.

Second, the authors indicated that the anesthesiologist recorded the final solution spread on an anatomical diagram. However, the article did not mention when it was recorded. In our opinion, the diffusion of the local anesthetic varied over time, and when the anesthesiologist documented that it was quadratus lumbar block 2, after a while, it may have spread to quadratus lumbar block 1 + 2 + 3.

Third, the authors have assessed the extent of sensory blockade in the nine hip areas with a cold glass vial. However, the authors did not measure whether there was sensory loss over a wider range, including the areas of femoral and obturator innervation. Next, the authors suggested that obturator and femoral nerves were not blocked after posterior quadratus lumborum block, which may contribute to the lack of analgesia. However, the innervation of the hip joint is primarily provided by the articular branches of obturator and femoral nerves,² which could not be measured by cutaneous sensation.

Research Support

This letter was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. NSFC 81772130) and the National Key R&D Program of China (grant No. 2018YFC2001800).

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Jian Hu, M.M., Min Xu, M.M., Qiuru Wang, M.M., Jing Yang, M.D. West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China (J.Y.). 422963362@gg.com

References

- Brixel SM, Biboulet P, Swisser F, Choquet O, Aarab Y, Nguyen H, Bringuier S, Capdevila X: Posterior quadratus lumborum block in total hip arthroplasty: A randomized controlled trial. ANESTHESIOLOGY 2021; 134:722–33
- 2. Tinnirello A, Todeschini M, Pezzola D, Barbieri S: Pulsed radiofrequency application on femoral and obturator nerves for hip joint pain: Retrospective analysis with 12-month follow-up results. Pain Physician 2018; 21:407–14

(Accepted for publication July 20, 2021. Published online first on September 8, 2021.)

Quadratus Lumborum Block in Total Hip Replacement: Reply

In Reply:

We thank Hu *et al.*¹ for their comments on our work² and for giving us the opportunity to further clarify our findings.

First, we note that this study was a double-blind trial because the anesthesiologist, investigator, and patient were blinded to the exact solution administered (saline or ropivacaine). Additionally, data collection investigators were different across the recovery room and surgical ward. With respect to patient blinding, patients were not educated on whether to attribute muscular weakness or loss of cold sensation to the surgery or to the analgesic block. Indeed, as noted in the Results section, 12 patients (24%) in the ropivacaine group did not report a loss of cold sensation in the hip area. Additionally, at 2 h after extubation, significant motor weakness was observed in one patient in both groups.

Second, the final solution spread on ultrasound was recorded on an anatomical diagram at the end of the injection. We have found that this ultrasound image of the final injectate deposition was dynamic and not visibly maintained after block completion, due to rapid resorption of the injectate. In all the fascia plane blocks, the positive pressure ensures the macroscopic spread of the solution toward a zone of lower resistance. In our study, we observed that the macroscopic dispersion of injectate into a virtual space tended to depend on tissue compliance rather than needle tip position. The macroscopic dispersion reported in our anatomical diagram, called

Anesthesiology 2021; 135:925-35

927

bulk flow or mass flow, is rapidly followed by a microscopic dispersion,³ invisible to ultrasound. Local anesthetic can cross fascial layers even in the absence of macroscopic perforations.³ Finally, there is resorption of the injectate by both lymphatic and vascular systems.³ Consequently, as pointed out by Chin et al.,³ the potential mechanisms of analgesic action of fascial plane blocks can be divided into (1) a local effect on nerves in the vicinity of injection and (2) a systemic effect secondary to vascular dispersion. Separate from the mechanism of action, our study focused on contributing to the literature with respect to this question, "Is a quadratus lumborum block effective for pain relief after hip surgery?" Our study,2 and similarly, a recent trial by Haskins et al.,4 reported that respectively a posterior or an anterior quadratus lumborum block, when combined with multimodal analgesia, does not decrease morphine consumption or pain scores after hip surgery.

Third, as noted in our figure, there was no loss of cold sensation superior to the 12th rib margin or inferior to the upper third of the thigh with a posterior quadratus lumborum block. The subdivision of loss of cold sensation in nine areas allowed us to quantify the frequency of variously distributed sensory loss. We acknowledge the comment from Hu *et al.*¹ that the innervation of the anterior capsule is primarily provided by the articular branches of the obturator and femoral nerves. In our study, we note that there was no femoral nerve blockade (both sensory and motor), and we postulate that this may explain the lack of analgesic effect reported in our study. Our findings contrast with other quadratus lumborum blocks described. Diffusion to the lumbar plexus after an anterior quadratus lumborum block has been reported in two cadaveric studies,^{5,6} and a case report described a femoral blockade after a lateral quadratus lumborum block.7

Competing Interests

Dr. Choquet receives funding from Milestone Scientific (Livingstone, New Jersey) and General Electrics (Boston, Massachusetts) for research unrelated to this work. The remaining authors declare no competing interests.

Philippe Biboulet, M.D., Olivier Choquet, M.D., Helen Nguyen, M.D., Sophia Margareta Brixel, M.D., Fabien Swisser, M.D., Sophie Bringuier, Pharm.D., Ph.D., Xavier Capdevila, M.D.,
Ph.D. Lapeyronie University Hospital, University of Montpellier, Montpellier, France (P.B.). p-biboulet@chu-montpellier.fr

DOI: 10.1097/ALN.00000000003945

References

 Hu J, Xu M, Wang Q, Yang J: Quadratus lumborum block in total hip replacement: Comment. ANESTHESIOLOGY 2021; 135:927

- Brixel SM, Biboulet P, Swisser F, Choquet O, Aarab Y, Nguyen H, Bringuier S, Capdevila X: Posterior quadratus lumborum block in total hip arthroplasty: A randomized controlled trial. ANESTHESIOLOGY 2021; 134:722–33
- 3. Chin KJ, Lirk P, Hollmann MW, Schwarz SKW: Mechanisms of action of fascial plane blocks: A narrative review. Reg Anesth Pain Med 2021; 46:618–28
- 4. Haskins SC, Tseng A, Zhong H, Mamic M, Cheng SI, Nejim JA, Wetmore DS, Coleman SH, Ranawat AS, Nawabi DH, Kelly BT, Memtsoudis SG: Anterior quadratus lumborum block does not provide superior pain control after hip arthroplasty: A double-blinded randomized controlled trial. ANESTHESIOLOGY 2021; 135:433–41
- Adhikary SD, El-Boghdadly K, Nasralah Z, Sarwani N, Nixon AM, Chin KJ: A radiologic and anatomic assessment of injectate spread following transmuscular quadratus lumborum block in cadavers. Anaesthesia 2017; 72:73–9
- Carline L, McLeod GA, Lamb C: A cadaver study comparing spread of dye and nerve involvement after three different quadratus lumborum blocks. Br J Anaesth 2016; 117:387–94
- Wikner M: Unexpected motor weakness following quadratus lumborum block for gynaecological laparoscopy. Anaesthesia 2017; 72:230–2

(Accepted for publication July 20, 2021. Published online first on September 8, 2021.)

Risk of Postoperative Pulmonary Complications: Comment

To the Editor:

We read with the interest the report by Li *et al.*¹ of their single-center retrospective registry analysis on reversal of neuromuscular blockade and post-operative pulmonary complications. No difference in the odds of postoperative pulmonary complications was observed between patients receiving sugammadex (4.2%) or neostigmine (5.9%) (adjusted odds ratio, 0.89; 95% CI, 0.65 to 1.22). This result is consistent with the largest prospective cohort study² and the two small randomized controlled trials^{3,4} to date, but contrasts with a much larger

928

Anesthesiology 2021; 135:925-35

Copyright © 2021, the American Society of Anesthesiologists. All Rights Reserved. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.