- Duncan AE, Kateby Kashy B, Sarwar S, Singh A, Stenina-Adognravi O, Christoffersen S, Alfirevic A, Sale S, Yang D, Thomas JD, Gillinov M, Sessler DI: Hyperinsulinemic normoglycemia does not meaningfully improve myocardial performance during cardiac surgery: A randomized trial. ANESTHESIOLOGY 2015; 123:272–87
- Saager L, Duncan AE, Yared JP, Hesler BD, You J, Deogaonkar A, Sessler DI, Kurz A: Intraoperative tight glucose control using hyperinsulinemic normoglycemia increases delirium after cardiac surgery. ANESTHESIOLOGY 2015; 122:1214–23
- 11. Chaney MA, Nikolov MP, Blakeman BP, Bakhos M: Attempting to maintain normoglycemia during cardiopulmonary bypass with insulin may initiate postoperative hypoglycemia. Anesth Analg 1999; 89:1091–5
- 12. Joshi GP, Chung F, Vann MA, Ahmad S, Gan TJ, Goulson DT, Merrill DG, Twersky R; Society for Ambulatory Anesthesia: Society for Ambulatory Anesthesia consensus statement on perioperative blood glucose management in diabetic patients undergoing ambulatory surgery. Anesth Analg 2010; 111:1378–87
- 13. Geller AI, Shehab N, Lovegrove MC, Kegler SR, Weidenbach KN, Ryan GJ, Budnitz DS: National estimates of insulin-related hypoglycemia and errors leading to emergency department visits and hospitalizations. JAMA Intern Med 2014; 174:678–86
- Kwon S, Thompson R, Dellinger P, Yanez D, Farrohki E, Flum D: Importance of perioperative glycemic control in general surgery: A report from the Surgical Care and Outcomes Assessment Program. Ann Surg 2013; 257:8–14

(Accepted for publication July 26, 2017.)

### In Reply:

We would like to thank Drs. Gerlach and Tung for their thoughtful commentary regarding our article on perioperative glucose control.<sup>1</sup> A large body of evidence has clearly established the association between hyperglycemia and increased risk of perioperative morbidity and mortality in patients with and without diabetes.<sup>2,3</sup> The risk of complications in surgical patients experiencing stress hyperglycemia appears to be higher in nondiabetic patients than in diabetic patients.<sup>4,5</sup> Randomized controlled trials examining cardiac surgery patients demonstrate better outcomes in nondiabetic patients when their blood glucose target is achieved versus diabetic patients controlled to the same glycemic range.<sup>6,7</sup> However, patients labeled as "nondiabetic" often are undiagnosed diabetics or prediabetics,8 and those without a formal diagnosis of diabetes are much less likely to be treated with insulin when hyperglycemic versus their diabetic counterparts.9 This may confound our understanding of current data comparing diabetics to nondiabetics. We do not yet have conclusive evidence to determine best hyperglycemia treatment or prevention strategies during the perioperative period in general surgery patients; continued work is needed in both diabetic and nondiabetic cohorts. Stratification is expected to be complex and treatment targets are likely to be based not only on diabetic, prediabetic, and nondiabetic status, but also on type of surgery, acuity of illness, degree of hyperglycemia, and sensitivity to insulin.

Drs. Gerlach and Tung are certainly correct in identifying the increased risk of hypoglycemia, as well as potential source of medication error, with insulin administration in the hospital setting. There is no argument that aggressive insulin therapy has the potential to cause harm. Current guidelines for the management of inpatient hyperglycemia advocate for a blood glucose target less than 180 mg/dl. At this target, randomized controlled clinical trials demonstrate that the risk of hypoglycemia is significantly reduced.<sup>10</sup> We advocate against tight blood glucose control and do not recommend perioperative use of insulin until blood glucose is greater than 140 to 180 mg/dl. We do advocate with the Society for Ambulatory Anesthesia (SAMBA) recommendations that subcutaneous insulin therapy can aid in blood glucose control without the need to start a continuous insulin infusion.<sup>11</sup> Likewise, coincident with the SAMBA statement, we do not suggest that "normalization" of blood glucose should be achieved on the day of surgery. Additionally, although insulin-dosing errors are undoubtedly associated with significant morbidity, avoiding insulin entirely due to fear of a medication error is a suboptimal solution for a considerable problem.

Recognizing the risks of hypoglycemia, insulin therapy alternatives (*i.e.*, oral antidiabetic agents) are actively under investigation in hospitalized medical and surgical patients. Our group recently reported that in medical and general surgery patients the use of dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4) inhibitors, alone or in combination with basal insulin, is equally safe and effective when compared to multidose insulin (basal bolus) regimens.<sup>12,13</sup> In addition, the Linagliptin Surgery trial (NCT02004366) recently presented at the American Diabetes Association meeting in June 2017, compared the use of DPP4 inhibitors to insulin therapy in 280 general surgery patients with type 2 diabetes. Compared to insulin therapy, DPP4 inhibitors show equal efficacy in treatment of mild-to-moderate hyperglycemia, but result in significantly less hypoglycemia (12% vs. 2%).14 We agree with Drs. Gerlach and Tung that forthcoming studies need to continue to explore and identify safe treatment options and protocols.

Management of perioperative hyperglycemia is neither straightforward nor simple. There is not a single approach to all surgical patients due to the wide complexity of the issues that impact glycemic management. Our article attempts to provide anesthesiologists with a variety of patient, surgical, and treatment considerations based on current available evidence. These include diagnosis of diabetes and recommendations for hemoglobin A1C testing, insulin and oral agents for glycemic control, assessment of baseline insulin sensitivity, and anticipated operating room variables (hemodynamic changes, use of pressors, and temperature shifts). We appreciate Drs. Gerlach and Tung's careful review of our article and agree with them that a single protocol would be "imprecise."

## Competing Interests

Dr. Umpierrez is supported in part by research grants from the American Diabetes Association (1-14-LLY-36), PHS

Copyright © 2017, the American Society of Anesthesiologists, Inc. Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

grant UL1 RR025008 from the Clinical Translational Science Award Program (M01 RR-00039), National Institutes of Health (Bethesda, Maryland), National Center for Research Resources (Washington, DC), and has received unrestricted research support for inpatient studies (to Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia) from Merck, Novo Nordisk, Astra Zeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, and Sanofi. Dr. Umpierrez also has received consulting fees and/or honoraria for membership in advisory boards from Novo Nordisk, Sanofi, Merck, Glytec, and Johnson and Johnson. Dr. Duggan declares no competing interests.

Elizabeth W. Duggan, M.D., Guillermo E. Umpierrez, M.D., C.D.E. Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia (E.W.D.). elizabeth.w.duggan@emory.edu

### References

- Duggan EW, Carlson K, Umpierrez GE: Perioperative hyperglycemia management: An update. ANESTHESIOLOGY 2017; 126:547–60
- Kwon S, Thompson R, Dellinger P, Yanez D, Farrohki E, Flum D: Importance of perioperative glycemic control in general surgery: A report from the Surgical Care and Outcomes Assessment Program. Ann Surg 2013; 257:8–14
- 3. Kotagal M, Symons RG, Hirsch IB, Umpierrez GE, Dellinger EP, Farrokhi ET, Flum DR; SCOAP-CERTAIN Collaborative: Perioperative hyperglycemia and risk of adverse events among patients with and without diabetes. Ann Surg 2015; 261:97–103
- Frisch A, Chandra P, Smiley D, Peng L, Rizzo M, Gatcliffe C, Hudson M, Mendoza J, Johnson R, Lin E, Umpierrez GE: Prevalence and clinical outcome of hyperglycemia in the perioperative period in noncardiac surgery. Diabetes Care 2010; 33:1783–8
- Kotagal M, Symons RG, Hirsch IB, Umpierrez GE, Dellinger EP, Farrokhi ET, Flum DR; SCOAP-CERTAIN Collaborative: Perioperative hyperglycemia and risk of adverse events among patients with and without diabetes. Ann Surg 2015; 261:97–103
- Umpierrez G, Cardona S, Pasquel F, Jacobs S, Peng L, Unigwe M, Newton CA, Smiley-Byrd D, Vellanki P, Halkos M, Puskas JD, Guyton RA, Thourani VH: Randomized controlled trial of intensive *versus* conservative glucose control in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft surgery: GLUCO-CABG Trial. Diabetes Care 2015; 38:1665–72
- Bláha J, Mráz M, Kopecký P, Stříteský M, Lipš M, Matias M, Kunstýř J, Pořízka M, Kotulák T, Kolníková I, Šimanovská B, Zakharchenko M, Rulíšek J, Šachl R, Anýž J, Novák D, Lindner J, Hovorka R, Svačina Š, Haluzík M: Perioperative tight glucose control reduces postoperative adverse events in nondiabetic cardiac surgery patients. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2015; 100:3081–9
- Greci LS, Kailasam M, Malkani S, Katz DL, Hulinsky I, Ahmadi R, Nawaz H: Utility of HbA(1c) levels for diabetes case finding in hospitalized patients with hyperglycemia. Diabetes Care 2003; 26:1064–8
- Kotagal M, Symons RG, Hirsch IB, Umpierrez GE, Dellinger EP, Farrokhi ET, Flum DR; SCOAP-CERTAIN Collaborative: Perioperative hyperglycemia and risk of adverse events among patients with and without diabetes. Ann Surg 2015; 261:97–103
- Finfer S, Chittock DR, Su SY, Mitchell I, Myburgh J, Norton R, Potter J; NICE-SUGAR Study Investigators: Intensive versus conventional glucose control in critically ill patients. N Engl J Med 2009; 360(13):1283–97
- 11. Joshi GP, Chung F, Vann MA, Ahmad S, Gan TJ, Goulson DT, Merrill DG, Twersky R; Society for Ambulatory Anesthesia: Society for Ambulatory Anesthesia consensus statement

on perioperative blood glucose management in diabetic patients undergoing ambulatory surgery. Anesth Analg 2010; 111:1378–87

- 12. Umpierrez GE, Gianchandani R, Smiley D, Jacobs S, Wesorick DH, Newton C, Farrokhi F, Peng L, Reyes D, Lathkar-Pradhan S, Pasquel F: Safety and efficacy of sitagliptin therapy for the inpatient management of general medicine and surgery patients with type 2 diabetes: A pilot, randomized, controlled study. Diabetes Care 2013; 36:3430–5
- Pasquel FJ, Gianchandani R, Rubin DJ, Dungan KM, Anzola I, Gomez PC, Peng L, Hodish I, Bodnar T, Wesorick D, Balakrishnan V, Osei K, Umpierrez GE: Efficacy of sitagliptin for the hospital management of general medicine and surgery patients with type 2 diabetes (Sita-Hospital): A multicentre, prospective, open-label, non-inferiority randomised trial. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2017; 5:125–33
- 14. Vellanki V, Rasouli N, Baldwin D, Alexanian S, Anzola I, Urrutia M, Cardona S, Peng L, Pasquel FJ, Umpierrez GE. Glycemic efficacy and safety of linagliptin compared to basal bolus insulin regimen in hospitalized general surgery patients with type 2 diabetes: A multicenter randomized clinical trial. Diabetes 2017; 66 (in press)

(Accepted for publication July 26, 2017.)

# When Managing Patients with Left Ventricular Assist Devices Undergoing Noncardiac Surgery, Less Is Not More

### To the Editor:

We read with great interest the manuscript in the March 2017 issue of ANESTHESIOLOGY by Mathis *et al.*<sup>1</sup> that provided an overview of the authors' experiences managing patients with left ventricular assist devices (LVADs) who were undergoing noncardiac surgery. We would propose that there are no straightforward anesthetics for LVAD patients and that all cases performed on LVAD patients should be considered higher risk. In this context, risk stratification is unnecessary and, if anything, may lead to an increased sense of complacency when managing a "low-risk" LVAD patient—there is no such thing.

Certain elements of the anesthetic planning should reflect this increased risk. For example, only 20.1% of the anesthetics described by Mathis et al. involved placement of an arterial line. Given their diminished pulsatile flow and the complex physiologic changes that may occur in the LVAD heart undergoing sedation and anesthesia, we would argue that this percentage should be much higher, even in cases not involving general anesthesia. Not only does the arterial line display an accurate reflection of blood pressure and pulsatility, the waveform itself can yield valuable information about volume status.<sup>2</sup> The authors' observation that 5.5% of cases were performed without any recorded blood pressure (invasive or noninvasive) further highlights the importance of having a low threshold to place a reliable intraarterial blood pressure monitor. Additionally, the fact that 55% of cases had a more than 20-min intraoperative gap without

#### Correspondence

Copyright © 2017, the American Society of Anesthesiologists, Inc. Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.