### Competing Interests

The author declares no competing interests.

**Sven Goddon, M.D.,** University Hospital Erlangen, Erlangen, Germany. sven.goddon@kfa.imed.uni-erlangen.de

## References

- Le Guen M, Grassin-Delyle S, Cornet C, Genty A, Chazot T, Dardelle D, Liu N, Dreyfus JF, Mazoit JX, Devillier P, Alvarez JC, Sessler DI, Fischler M: Comparison of the potency of different propofol formulations: a randomized, double-blind trial using closed-loop administration. Anesthesiology 2014; 120:355-64
- Dahaba AA: Different conditions that could result in the bispectral index indicating an incorrect hypnotic state. Anesth Analg 2005; 101:765–73
- 3. Hemmerling TM, Fortier JD: Falsely increased bispectral index values in a series of patients undergoing cardiac surgery using forced-air-warming therapy of the head. Anesth Analg 2002; 95:322–3

(Accepted for publication May 21,2014.)

In Reply:

We thank Dr. Goddon for his interest in our recent study published in Anesthesiology<sup>1</sup> about the comparison of different propofol formulations during induction of general anesthesia.

First, the aim of our study was not to perform a pharmacokinetic study. Our primary outcome was the required dose of propofol with or without lidocaine to achieve induction of general anesthesia. Induction was defined using bispectal index that indirectly measured the cortical effect of propofol infusion. A secondary outcome was calculated and measured propofol and lidocaine plasma concentrations as indicated in the article. This was performed only in Foch Hospital and not in other centers (for logistic reasons). No stratification was planned in the randomization and this explained an imbalance between the six groups. Our text was extremely cautious: "These results should be guardedly analyzed for several reasons: assays were done on a limited number of patients, blood samples were never taken during a steadystate period because induction is per se an unstable period and because closed-loop propofol administration consisted in several consecutive boluses at short intervals, arteriovenous difference is probably higher during such a period than during a maintenance period, [...]". A cross-over study, suggested by Goddon, cannot be considered in patients in comparison to healthy volunteers. This inevitably induces intervariability difference but represents real life.

The second point underlined by Goddon is the question of data handling when patients did not reach induction at 360 s. We have arbitrarily limited the x-axis of figure 2 (duration of anesthetic induction) to 360 s, but no data were retrieved in the analysis.

The third point is artifacts. Bispectal index has numerous possible artifacts; they were well described by Dahaba. Our inclusion criteria considered some of them. In the Materials and Method section, we allowed the possibility to "gently" ventilate patients in case of significant drop in oxygen saturation (Spo $_2$  <92%) during induction. This rescue maneuver could modify bispectal index, if painful, but we did not record the number of such interventions.

Finally, we agree with Dr. Goddon: pain and time required for induction cannot be separated. Our article reported the differences for these parameters between formulations of propofol (long-chain triglycerides *versus* mixture of long-and medium-chain triglycerides) and between formulations mixed with either saline solution or lidocaine 1%.

As a conclusion, our method is probably not perfect but allows a standardization of anesthesia limiting human bias. We also want to draw anesthesiologists' attention to the fact that there are extremely different formulations for propofol from one country to another and sometimes in the same country.\*

## Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

**Morgan Le Guen, M.D., Marc Fischler, M.D.** Hôpital Foch, Suresnes, France, and University of Versailles Saint-Quentin en Yvelines, France (M.F.). m.fischler@hopital-foch.org

#### References

- Le Guen M, Grassin-Delyle S, Cornet C, Genty A, Chazot T, Dardelle D, Liu N, Dreyfus JF, Mazoit JX, Devillier P, Alvarez JC, Sessler DI, Fischler M: Comparison of the potency of different propofol formulations: A randomized, double-blind trial using closed-loop administration. Anesthesiology 2014; 120:355–64
- Dahaba AA: Different conditions that could result in the bispectral index indicating an incorrect hypnotic state. Anesth Analg 2005; 101:765–73

(Accepted for publication May 21, 2014.)

\* Available at: http://www.drugs.com/international/propofol.html. Accessed June 19, 2014.

# Practice Guidelines for the Perioperative Management of Patients with Obstructive Sleep Apnea: Navigating through Uncertainty

To the Editor:

We read with interest the update of "Practice Guidelines for the Perioperative Management of Patients with Obstructive Sleep Apnea," by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Perioperative