

- bolism as a complication of central venous catheterization. *Crit Care Med* 2000; 28:1621-5
5. Ely EW, Hite RD, Baker AM, Johnson MM, Bowton DL, Haponik EF: Venous air embolism from central venous catheterization: A need for increased physician awareness. *Crit Care Med* 1999; 27:2113-7
 6. Novack V, Shefer A, Almog Y: Images in cardiology. Coronary air embolism after removal of central venous catheter. *Heart* 2006; 92:39
 7. McCarthy PM, Wang N, Birchfield F, Mehta AC: Air embolism in single-lung transplant patients after central venous catheter removal. *Chest* 1995; 107:1178-9
 8. Mennim P, Coyle CF, Taylor JD: Venous air embolism associated with removal of central venous catheter. *BMJ* 1992; 305:171-2
 9. Phifer TJ, Bridges M, Conrad SA: The residual central venous catheter track—an occult source of lethal air embolism: Case report. *J Trauma* 1991; 31:1558-60
 10. Brouns R, De Surgeloose D, Neetens I, De Deyn PP: Fatal venous cerebral air embolism secondary to a disconnected central venous catheter. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2006; 21:212-4
 11. Wysoki MG, Covey A, Pollak J, Rosenblatt M, Aruny J, Denbow N: Evaluation of various maneuvers for prevention of air embolism during central venous catheter placement. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 2001; 12:764-6
 12. Muth CM, Shank ES: Gas embolism. *N Engl J Med* 2000; 342:476-82
 13. Pronovost PJ, Wu AW, Sexton JB: Acute decompensation after removing a central line: Practical approaches to increasing safety in the intensive care unit. *Ann Intern Med* 2004; 140:1025-33

(Accepted for publication June 27, 2012.)

In Reply:

We thank Pivalizza *et al.* for their comments on the Practice Guidelines for Central Venous Access.¹ We acknowledge that data from nonanesthesiologist practitioners were used in our analysis for the utility of real-time ultrasound; however, at this time there is insufficient published evidence that directly assesses whether anesthesiologists perform the task of catheter insertion better – or differently – than physicians in other specialties.

Pivalizza *et al.* are correct that the meta-analytic data report heterogeneity for successful internal jugular (IJ) line insertion when using real-time ultrasound guidance as compared with the landmark technique. However, other Category A1 outcomes, such as first-attempt success rate, reduced access time, and decreased rate of arterial puncture, are homogeneous. Regarding hemothorax and pneumothorax, the few randomized controlled trials reporting these outcomes demonstrated lower frequencies for real-time ultrasound.^{2,3} In addition to the cited randomized controlled trials, we took into consideration studies with observational findings showing high rates of success when using ultrasound.⁴⁻⁸

Regarding the survey information, Pivalizza *et al.* neglected to note that more than 75% of the expert consultants agreed, and less than 10% disagreed, that real-time ultra-

sound should be used with the IJ. Although 48.2% of the randomly selected members agreed, less than 29% disagreed. These findings likely represent a gap in practice between expert practitioners and the random sample of American Society of Anesthesiologists members.

The value and enhanced safety that real-time ultrasound affords is most likely because the operator can look beneath the skin at the anatomy and the relationship of the IJ to the carotid artery. Significant anatomic variation in the relationship of the IJ to the carotid artery has been documented⁹⁻¹²: 1–5% of the time, the IJ lies medial to the carotid; 3–18% of IJ veins are absent or thrombosed; and in more than half of patients, 50–75% of the surface of the vein overlies the carotid.⁹⁻¹² These anatomic variables cannot be ascertained *via* the landmark approach. Using real-time ultrasound, one can see the anatomy and direct the needle away from the carotid artery.¹³

Regarding “studies not being scored by traditional methods to assess for bias and scientific rigor,” we use a standard analytical (statistical) approach, and our review process and basic classification system has been in place for more than 20 yr. The review procedures we have in place to control for bias surpass most, if not all, other literature assessment methods in use today.

The American Society of Anesthesiologists guidelines are consistent with other organizations in recommending real-time ultrasound. The Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists, along with the American Society of Echocardiography, recently published their own guidelines, recommending “that properly trained clinicians use real-time ultrasound during IJ cannulation whenever possible to improve cannulation success and reduce the incidence of complications associated with the insertion of large-bore catheters.”¹⁴ In 2001, the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality identified the use of real-time ultrasound guidance during central venous catheter insertion as one of the patient safety practices with the greatest strength of supporting evidence.¹⁵ Other societies and organizations have also recommended it.^{†16}

Within our specialty, the Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists, the Society of Critical Care Anesthesiologists, and the Society of Pediatric Anesthesia have endorsed the American Society of Anesthesiologists guidelines.¹ We are delighted that the American Society of Anesthesiologists House of Delegates approved these recommendations and hope the members of our specialty will embrace these enhanced safety practices.

We thank Keegan and Mueller for their thoughtful and informative letter detailing the potentially grave risks associated with removal of central venous catheters. In our guidelines, we were explicitly focused on the events and practices to improve safety at the time of central venous catheter placement. Their letter serves to remind all of us that there is a comprehensive set of considerations for maintenance and access of indwelling central lines. Although these issues were beyond the scope of our endeavor, we will add them to the list of potential topics to be included in a future update to the guidelines.

† http://www.facs.org/fellows_info/statements/st-60.html. Accessed June 16, 2012.

Stephen M. Rupp, M.D.,* Jeffrey L. Apfelbaum, M.D., Richard T. Connis, Ph.D., David G. Nickinovich, Ph.D.; on behalf of the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Central Venous Access. *Virginia Mason Medical Center, Seattle, Washington. stephen.rupp@vmmc.org

References

1. American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Central Venous Access, Rupp SM, Apfelbaum JL, Blitt C, Caplan RA, Connis RT, Domino KB, Fleisher LA, Grant S, Mark JB, Morray JP, Nickinovich DG, Tung A: Practice guidelines for central venous access: A report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Central Venous Access. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 2012; 116: 539-73
2. Cajazzo M, Quintini G, Cocchiera G, Greco G, Vaglica R, Pezzano G, Barbera V, Modica G: Comparison of central venous catheterization with and without ultrasound guide. *Transfus Apher Sci* 2004; 31:199-202
3. Karakitsos D, Labropoulos N, De Groot E, Patrianakos AP, Kouraklis G, Poularas J, Samonis G, Tsoutsos DA, Konstadoulakis MM, Karabinis A: Real-time ultrasound-guided catheterization of the internal jugular vein: A prospective comparison with the landmark technique in critical care patients. *Crit Care* 2006; 10:R162
4. Wigmore TJ, Smythe JF, Hacking MB, Raobaikady R, MacCallum NS: Effect of the implementation of NICE guidelines for ultrasound guidance on the complication rates associated with central venous catheter placement in patients presenting for routine surgery in a tertiary referral centre. *Br J Anaesth* 2007; 99:662-5
5. Augoustides JG, Horak J, Ochroch AE, Vernick WJ, Gambone AJ, Weiner J, Pinchasik D, Kowalchuk D, Savino JS, Jobes DR: A randomized controlled clinical trial of real-time needle-guided ultrasound for internal jugular venous cannulation in a large university anesthesia department. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2005; 19:310-5
6. Brederlau J, Greim C, Schwemmer U, Haunschmid B, Markus C, Roewer N: Ultrasound-guided cannulation of the internal jugular vein in critically ill patients positioned in 30 degrees dorsal elevation. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2004; 21:684-7
7. Hatfield A, Bodenham A: Portable ultrasound for difficult central venous access. *Br J Anaesth* 1999; 82:822-6
8. Lamperti M, Cortellazzi P, D'Onofrio G, Subert M, Falcone C, Filippini G, Caldiroli D: An outcome study on complications using routine ultrasound assistance for internal jugular vein cannulation. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2007; 51:1327-30
9. Troianos CA, Kuwik RJ, Pasqual JR, Lim AJ, Odasso DP: Internal jugular vein and carotid artery anatomic relation as determined by ultrasonography. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 1996; 85:43-8
10. Gordon AC, Saliken JC, Johns D, Owen R, Gray RR: US-guided puncture of the internal jugular vein: Complications and anatomic considerations. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 1998; 9:333-8
11. Maecken T, Grau T: Ultrasound imaging in vascular access. *Crit Care Med* 2007; 35(5 Suppl):S178-85
12. Lichtenstein D, Saïfi R, Augarde R, Prin S, Schmitt JM, Page B, Pipien I, Jardin F: The Internal jugular veins are asymmetric. Usefulness of ultrasound before catheterization. *Intensive Care Med* 2001; 27:301-5
13. Turba UC, Uflacker R, Hannegan C, Selby JB: Anatomic relationship of the internal jugular vein and the common carotid artery applied to percutaneous transjugular procedures. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol* 2005; 28:303-6
14. Troianos CA, Hartman GS, Glas KE, Skubas NJ, Eberhardt RT, Walker JD, Reeves ST, Councils on Intraoperative Echocardiography and Vascular Ultrasound of the American Society of Echocardiography: Guidelines for performing ultrasound guided vascular cannulation: Recommendations of the American Society of Echocardiography and the Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2011; 24:1291-318
15. Rothchild, JM: Ultrasound guidance of central vein catheterization. In: *Making Health Care Safer: A critical analysis of patient safety practices*. Rockville: AHRQ Publications; 2001: 245-55
16. National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE): Guidance on the Use of Ultrasound Locating Devices for Placing Central Venous Catheters. London: NICE; 2002

(Accepted for publication June 27, 2012.)

Alternatives to Preoperative Transfusion Should Be Preferred in Anemic Cardiac Surgical Patients Instead of Useless Transfusion

To the Editor:

We read with great interest the recent article published by Karkouti *et al.* about prophylactic erythrocyte transfusion in anemic patients scheduled for cardiac surgery.¹ We are very concerned by the concept of “prophylactic packed erythrocyte transfusion” before elective surgery.

The authors stated “this pilot study showed that in anemic cardiac surgical patients, prophylactic transfusion of 2 units of erythrocytes 1 to 2 days before surgery safely reduces perioperative anemia and erythrocyte transfusions, and may reduce plasma iron level.”

This statement is not in accordance with the title of the article. More importantly, it is not supported by the data presented.

On the one hand, the study was not powered to assess the safety of prophylactic transfusion in this population. According to the reported incidence of immediate complications associated with packed erythrocytes transfusion, a much higher number of patients had to be studied. As estimated by the authors, inclusion of 1,000 patients should be necessary to show a statistically significant improvement in renal function. With this larger population, side effects associated with erythrocyte transfusion might appear. These side effects may have potentially severe consequences for patients' outcome, especially if they have resulted in a report of the surgical intervention. Of note, the total number of erythrocyte units transfused in the perioperative period was not different between the two studied groups.

On the other hand, the statement that “the increase in postoperative iron and transferrin saturation levels was more pronounced in the control arm than in the treatment arm” could not be inferred from the statistical analysis used. Indeed, a two-way ANOVA test should have been performed to demonstrate an interaction between “group” and “time” effects. Moreover, as observed in the treatment arm, transferrin saturation levels may increase after cardiac surgery because of several other factors that have to be taken into account.

It is increasingly recognized that preoperative anemia and perioperative transfusion are both independently associated