generation in both rodent and primate models.^{3,4} What is unclear is the relevance of these models to humans. To quote an occasionally used phrase, "rats are funny people," and a prolonged exposure of 10-day-old rat pups to isoflurane, while mimicking the gestational age of a 36-week premature infant, may not be applicable to the relatively brief exposure of humans to volatile agents in a typical operating room setting. Prolonged use in the intensive care unit (ICU), however, is far different and comes closer to the exposure duration of the animal models.^{3,4} Even Sackey et al. mention reversible symptoms of ataxia, tremor, and clonus in children in whom volatile anesthetics have been used for sedation.¹ Although the potential harm to patients exhibiting these symptoms is unclear, their presence is unlikely to beneficial. Similarly, the aging brain may be vulnerable to yet-to-be elucidated neurotoxic effects of volatile anesthetics. Postoperative cognitive dysfunction in the elderly is a well-known phenomenon whose precise etiology is elusive, but again, animal studies suggest a possible correlation with expressions of Alzheimer-like pathology in rodents after volatile anesthetic exposure.⁵ As is the case in studies of the developing brain, the relevance of animal models to human clinical care is unclear, but the prolonged exposure to volatile anesthetics in a scenario of ICU sedation approaches experimental conditions in animal studies. Finally, the mutagenic effects of volatile anesthetic exposure continue to be debated in the literature with some evidence to support acceleration of cancers after anesthesia.⁶

The use of benzodiazepines, narcotics, and intravenous hypnotic agents such as propofol for ICU sedation is well established with an acceptable safety profile. As with any pharmaceutical therapy, there are side effects and challenges associated with their long-term administration, especially when relying on clinical guidance for management when muscle relaxants are used and without Bispectral Index or other monitors of depth of anesthesia. In the report by Sackey et al., it is likely that the same therapeutic goals could have been accomplished with better titration of intravenous agents and use of Bispectral Index or similar technology and without the use of volatile anesthetics. It is increasingly clear that prolonged exposure to volatile anesthetics, especially in the immature, elderly, and compromised brain (the patients most likely to be in an ICU), may be associated with significant risk that is not justified by the clinical benefit of their use for ICU sedation. Until more definitive studies are done, I believe the use of volatile anesthetics for prolonged sedation should be approached with great caution, if at all.

George Mychaskiw II, D.O., F.A.A.P., F.A.C.O.P., Drexel University School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. george.mychaskiw@drexelmed.edu

References

 Sackey PV, Eriksson LI, Martling CR, Radell PJ: Case scenario: Tailored sedation to the individual needs of the intensive care unit patient. Anesthesiology 2010; 113:1439-46

- 2. Payen J: Toward tailored sedation with halogenated anesthetics in the intensive care unit? ANESTHESIOLOGY 2010; 113:268-9
- Jevtovic-Todorovic V, Hartman RE, Izumi Y, Benshoff ND, Dikranian K, Zorumski CF, Olney JW, Wozniak DF: Early exposure to common anesthetic agents causes widespread neurodegeneration in the developing rat brain and persistent learning deficits. J Neurosci 2003; 23:876-82
- Brambrink AM, Evers AS, Avidan MS, Farber NB, Smith DJ, Zhang X, Dissen GA, Creeley CE, Olney JW: Isoflurane-induced neuroapoptosis in the neonatal rhesus macaque brain. ANESTHESIOLOGY 2010; 112:834-41
- Mena MA, Preucho J, Rubio I, de Yebenes JG: Studies in animal model of the effects of anesthetics on behavior, biochemistry and neuronal cell death. J Alzheimers Dis 2010; 22 Suppl 3:43-8
- Forget P, De Kock M: Could anaesthesia, analgesia and sympathetic modulation affect neoplastic recurrence after surgery? A systematic review centred over the modulation of natural killer cells activity. Ann Fr Anesth Reanim 2009; 28: 751-68

(Accepted for publication March 28, 2011.)

In Reply:

We appreciate Dr. Mychaskiw's cautioning words regarding possible negative effects of volatile anesthetics for intensive care unit (ICU) sedation. Perhaps they reflect the apprehension that many anesthetists/intensivists feel regarding the growing body of evidence revealing possible injurious effects of sedatives and anesthetics on the central nervous system. Because these medications are indispensable in modern medicine, we seem to be "damned if we do, damned if we don't." This may be particularly true in our most vulnerable patients: the very young and very old. We hope to further the discussion with some additional reflections here.

The main purpose of our article was to highlight the clinical impact of sedation strategies on patient outcomes. This specific case using isoflurane illustrates that volatile anesthetics may be a therapeutic option for deep sedation of intubated ICU patients. Although we grant that isoflurane is relatively unproven for this indication, we would tend not to agree with the assertion that "the use of benzodiazepines, narcotics and intravenous hypnotics, like propofol, for ICU sedation is well-established with an acceptable safety profile." The cited and worrisome recent findings of neurodegenerative and apoptotic effects have been found to apply as well to barbiturates, ketamine, benzodiazepines, and propofol.²⁻⁴ To our knowledge, only the α -2-agonists have not been found to cause these changes. Dr. Mychaskiw rightly wonders what significance these animal findings bear on clinical medicine, but at least in the pediatric setting Wilder et al. have revealed in a large cohort that relatively modest exposure to general anesthesia before the age of 4 yr was related to increased risk of learning disability later in life.⁵ Unfortunately, at our current level of knowledge there is nothing to say that risk is lessened by using one class of drug over another or that inhaled anesthetics are more harmful than intravenous.

In fact, increasing evidence points toward additional clinically relevant problems with commonly used sedative drugs. Benzodiazepines, among the most common drugs in our arsenal, contribute to the development of delirium after ICU sedation. Delirium is associated with increased hospital length of stay and with increased mortality. Propofol, common in adult ICUs despite the above mentioned concerns, is not recommended for long-term sedation in children or in higher infusion rates for adults because of the risk of propofol infusion syndrome. Moreover, long-term use of propofol may contribute to withdrawal.

Several studies of volatile anesthetics for sedation purposes in humans—with clinically relevant endpoints—have shown promising results. Rapid pulmonary excretion and limited metabolism of all the modern agents are intrinsically attractive characteristics. Wake-up times are shorter and more predictable than with intravenous sedatives, as is time to cooperation. There may be beneficial cardiac effects of volatile anesthetic sedation. The memory panorama from the ICU stay, an important patient-related outcome, also appears to be favorable compared with that of midazolam.

Simply put, we need more evidence and knowledge about the advantages and risks of the sedative drugs that we use, be they benzodiazepines and propofol or volatile anesthetics. We advocate for additional evaluation of volatile anesthetics as a promising option for long-term sedation in ventilator-dependent ICU patients. In any case, we can not afford to idly administer routine cocktails of sedatives unaware of the risks we may be taking. Every patient deserves a carefully considered sedation strategy.

Peter V. Sackey, M.D., Ph.D.,* Peter J. Radell, M.D., Ph.D. *Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden. peter.sackey@karolinska.se

References

- Sackey PV, Eriksson LI, Martling CR, Radell PJ: Tailored sedation to the individual needs of the intensive care unit patient. Anesthesiology 2010; 113:1439 - 46
- 2. Jevtovic-Todorovic V, Benshoff N, Olney JW: Ketamine potentiates cerebrocortical damage induced by the common anaesthetic agent nitrous oxide in adult rats. Br J Pharmacol 2000; 130:1692-8
- Young C, Jevtovic-Todorovic V, Qin YQ, Tenkova T, Wang H, Labruyere J, Olney JW: Potential of ketamine and midazolam, individually or in combination, to induce apoptotic neurodegeneration in the infant mouse brain. Br J Pharmacol 2005; 146:189-97
- Bercker S, Bert B, Bittigau P, Felderhoff-Müser U, Bührer C, Ikonomidou C, Weise M, Kaisers UX, Kerner T: Neurodegeneration in newborn rats following propofol and sevoflurane anesthesia. Neurotox Res 2009; 16:140-7
- Wilder RT, Flick RP, Sprung J, Katusic SK, Barbaresi WJ, Mickelson C, Gleich SJ, Schroeder DR, Weaver AL, Warner DO: Early exposure to anesthesia and learning disabilities in a population-based birth cohort. Anesthesiology 2009; 110:796–804
- Pandharipande P, Shintani A, Peterson J, Pun BT, Wilkinson GR, Dittus RS, Bernard GR, Ely EW: Lorazepam is an independent risk

- factor for transitioning to delirium in intensive care unit patients. An esthesiology 2006; 104:21-6
- 7. Ely EW, Shintani A, Truman B, Speroff T, Gordon SM, Harrell FE Jr, Inouye SK, Bernard GR, Dittus RS: Delirium as a predictor of mortality in mechanically ventilated patients in the intensive care unit. JAMA 2004; 291:1753-62
- Vasile B, Rasulo F, Candiani A, Latronico N: The pathophysiology of propofol infusion syndrome: A simple name for a complex syndrome. Intensive Care Med 2003; 29:1417-25
- Liatsi D, Tsapas B, Pampori S, Tsagourias M, Pneumatikos I, Matamis D: Respiratory, metabolic and hemodynamic effects of clonidine in ventilated patients presenting with withdrawal syndrome. Intensive Care Med 2009; 35:275-81
- Röhm KD, Wolf MW, Schöllhorn T, Schellhaass A, Boldt J, Piper SN: Short-term sevoflurane sedation using the Anaesthetic Conserving Device after cardiothoracic surgery. Intensive Care Med 2008; 34:1683-9
- Meiser A, Sirtl C, Bellgardt M, Lohmann S, Garthoff A, Kaiser J, Hügler P, Laubenthal HJ: Desflurane compared with propofol for postoperative sedation in the intensive care unit. Br J Anaesth 2003; 90:273-80
- Hellström J, Öwall A, Bergström J, Sackey PV: Cardiac outcome after sevoflurane *versus* propofol sedation following coronary bypass surgery: A pilot study. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2011; 55:460-7
- Wunsch H, Kress JP: A new era for sedation in ICU patients. JAMA 2009; 301:542-4
- 14. Sackey PV, Martling CR, Carlswärd C, Sundin O, Radell PJ: Short- and long-term follow-up of intensive care unit patients after sedation with isoflurane and midazolam: A pilot study. Crit Care Med 2008; 36:801-6

(Accepted for publication March 28, 2011.)

Incomplete Validation of Risk Stratification Indices

To the Editor:

Using 2001-2006 Medicare hospital data (Medicare Provider Analysis and Review [MEDPAR]) in approximately 17 million patients aged 65 yr and older, Sessler and colleagues¹ have proposed four risk stratification indices (RSIs) for mortality and duration of hospital stay. With a complex, stepwise hierarchical-selection algorithm, the authors¹ chose a parsimonious set of statistically significant predictors from the approximately 20,500 International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, diagnostic and procedure codes. For example, in-hospital mortality was modeled on 184 predictor codes with odds ratios varying from 0.131 to 57.821. Using a split sample design, these RSIs were internally validated on MEDPAR data for another 17 million patients and were externally validated on 100,000 patient records from the Cleveland Clinic (Ohio; Perioperative Health Documentation System). Working in the parameter space (β coefficients), validation of the RSIs was demonstrated on the development, validation, and external datasets by the c (concordance) statistic, which revealed very good discrimination in all datasets.

However, the performance of these RSIs has not been adequately justified. To do so requires calculation of the