## Volume and Dose of Local Anesthetic Necessary to Block the Axillary Brachial Plexus Using Ultrasound Guidance

IN this issue of ANESTHESIOLOGY, O'Donnell and Iohom report a successful block of the brachial plexus at the axilla with as little as 1 ml of 2% lidocaine per nerve.<sup>1</sup> Their findings are at odds with the conventional experience in which axillary brachial plexus block has been typically associated with a variable success rate ranging from 50% to 100%, even when substantially larger volumes of local anesthetics are used.<sup>2,3</sup> Before the introduction of electrolocalization and/or ultrasound guidance, blind injection of the local anesthetic around the axillary artery (transarterial technique) was the predominant method used to block the axillary brachial plexus. Failures or incomplete blocks were thought to be caused by imprecise needle placement or septation of the brachial plexus sheath, leading to malposition of the local anesthetic.<sup>4,5</sup> In 1961, De Jong showed that success of the axillary perivascular technique depends on the injection of a sufficient volume of local anesthetic and recommended that 42 ml of local anesthetic was necessary to fill the axillary brachial plexus sheath.<sup>6</sup> To increase the success rate, larger volumes of local anesthetic, as much as 80 ml in some reports, have been used, with techniques using multiple injections.<sup>6,7</sup> Only few investigators reported the ability of small aliquots of local anesthetic (e.g., 5 ml/nerve) to result in successful block.<sup>8</sup>

So, can ultrasound guidance increase the efficacy and decrease the 15% volumes of local anesthetic necessary to accomplish a successful block? According to Casati et al., there is no significant difference in block success rate or speed of onset between ultrasound and multistimulation techniques when 20 ml of 0.75% ropivacaine are used.<sup>9</sup> Lo and colleagues concluded that the volume used to block the axillary brachial plexus was smaller by a mere (7 ml) with ultrasound as compared to transarterial or nerve stimulation techniques (40 ml vs. 47 ml).<sup>10</sup> In the study by Chan and colleagues, ultrasound guidance improved the success rate of axillary brachial plexus block, but it still resulted in a 17% failure rate using 42 ml of 2% lidocaine and 0.5% bupivacaine.<sup>11</sup> These recent studies were all contributed by leading groups in the application of ultrasound for regional an-

This Editorial View accompanies the following article: O'Donnell B, Iohom G: An estimation of the minimum effective anesthetic volume of 2% lidocaine in ultrasound-guided axillary brachial plexus block. ANESTHESIOLOGY 2009; 111:25–9.

esthesia, and yet, even with substantially greater volumes and doses of local anesthetic, none reported as fast and reliable blockade as the current report.<sup>1</sup> So, how does one explain the spectacular results of O'Donnell and Iohom with as little as 1 ml/nerve of 2% lidocaine? One possibility is that their results are related to an über-precise deposition of local anesthetic by an anesthesiologist and ultrasonographer extraordinaire. Perhaps these were intraneural injections of local anesthetics for which only miniscule amounts of injectate are necessary to accomplish blockade? Or, are their results overly optimistic due to a type-I error because of the small sample size in their up and down design? The research of yesteryear was largely concerned with identifying volumes of local anesthetic and techniques to "fill" the axillary brachial plexus sheath to "capacity." Could it be, as O'Donnell and Iohom imply, that a less conventional, opposite direction - enhancing the precision and determining the lowest amount of local anesthetic necessary for blockade - is the future?

Our inability to provide a definitive answer to these questions stems from the unpredictability of the placement and disposition of local anesthetic. It is this factor that has traditionally hampered our efforts to define the relationship among dose, volume, and concentration of the local anesthetic to reliability, quality, and duration of the blockade. The effects of these variables with application of local anesthetics have largely been studied in laboratories on isolated nerves by measuring compound action potentials or on single nerve fibers using voltage clamp techniques.<sup>12</sup> Many of these studies bare little relevance to clinical practice because multilayered ensheathments of peripheral nerves in patients impede the diffusion of drugs into the ion channels. Furthermore, there is a significant variability in the nerve/connective tissue ratio, not only among the nerves, but also at different locations along the same nerve.<sup>13</sup> Such anatomic variability may help explain why a higher concentration of local anesthetic is required to block the sciatic nerve in the popliteal fossa than at the subgluteal fold.<sup>14</sup> When applied directly to ion channels, the concentration of local anesthetics necessary to cause conduction block is small compared to those used clinically.<sup>15</sup> Therefore, it would seem ideal if the means used to localize nerves allowed for precise administration of local anesthetics on the inner side of connective tissues without risking mechanical or injection injury to the axons. If so, should intraneural but extrafascicular injections, at least for large peripheral nerves (i.e., sciatic nerve), become a preferable method of performing blocks in the future? (Personal verbal communication, Xavier Sala-Blanch, M.D.,

Accepted for publication March 4, 2009. The authors are not supported by, nor maintain any financial interest in, any commercial activity that may be associated with the topic of this article.

Staff Anesthesiologist, Department of Anesthesiology, Hospital Clínic of Barcelona, Spain; December 19, 2008.)

Although this view may initially seem overreaching, it is not completely detached from reality, provided continuing advances in electrophysiologic (new modes of nerve stimulation), anatomic (ultrasonography), and hydrostatic (pressure) monitoring during application of nerve blocks prove beneficial clinically. In defense of such heretic prediction, there is mounting evidence that intraneural injections may occur more commonly than previously thought without imminently leading to neurologic injury.<sup>16,17</sup>

Perhaps the most important question, however, is whether the results of O'Donnell and Iohom are reproducible and ultimately applicable to everyday clinical practice? A recent study of 520 ultrasound-guided nerve blocks by anesthesia residents resulted in a success rate of 93.6% and four complications.<sup>18</sup> A video analysis of these blocks identified as many as 398 performance errors. Intriguingly, despite ultrasound guidance, most errors were failure to visualize the needle and the maldistribution of local anesthetic. This data casts doubts as to whether the ultrasound guidance alone will prove to be a panacea for challenges in peripheral nerve blockade. Whatever the answers, the provocative nature of the report by Drs. O'Donnell and Iohom is welcome because it will add fuel to the ongoing efforts to define the role and limits of ultrasound-guidance in regional anesthesia.

Admir Hadzic, M.D., Ph.D., Steven Dewaele, M.D., Kishor Gandhi, M.D., M.P.H., Alan Santos, M.D., M.P.H. Department of Anesthesiology, St. Luke's-Roosevelt Hospital, New York, New York. admir@nysora.com

## References

1. O'Donnell B, Iohom G: An estimation of the minimum effective anesthetic volume of 2% lidocaine in ultrasound-guided axillary brachial plexus block. ANESTHESIOLOGY 2009; 111:25-9

2. Tuominen MK, Pitkanen MT, Nummien MK, Rosenberg PH: Quality of axillary brachial plexus block. Comparison of success rate using perivascular and nerve stimulation techniques. Anaesthesia 1987; 42:20-2

3. Goldberg M, Greff C, Larijani G, Morris M: A comparison of three methods of axillary approach to the brachial plexus blockade for upper extremity surgery. ANESTHESIOLOGY 1987; 66:814-6

4. Thompson GE, Rorie DK: Functional anatomy of the brachial plexus sheaths. ANESTHESIOLOGY 1983; 59:117-22

5. Klastaad O, Smedby O, Thompson GE, Tilung T, Hol KP, Retnes JS, Brodal P, Breivik H, Hetland KR, Fosse ET: Distribution of local anesthetic in axillary brachial plexus sheath. ANESTHESIOLOGY 2002; 96:1315-24

6. De Jong RH: Axillary block of the brachial plexus. Anesthesiology 1961; 2:215-25

7. Vester-Andersen T, Christiansen C, Sørensen M, Kaalund-Jørgensen HO, Saugbjerg P, Schultz-Møller K: Perivascular axillary block II: Influence of injected volume of local anaesthetic on neural blockade. Acta Anaesth Scand 1983; 27:95-8

8. Koscielniak-Nielsen ZJ, Rotbøll Nielsen P, Sørensen T, Stenør M: Low dose axillary block by targeted injections of the terminal nerves. Can J Anaesth 1999; 46:658-64

9. Casati A, Danelli G, Baciarello M, Corradi M, Leone S, Di Cianni S, Fanelli GA: Prospective, randomized comparison between ultrasound and nerve stimulation guidance for multiple injection axillary brachial plexus block. ANESTHESIOLOGY 2007;106:992-6

10. Lo N, Brull R, Perlas A, Chan VWS, McCartnery JL, Sacco R, El-Beheiry H: Evolution of ultrasound guided axillary brachial plexus blockade: Retrospective analysis of 662 blocks. Can J Anaesth 2008; 55:408–13

11. Chan VWS, Perlas A, McCartney CJL, Brull R, Xu D, Abbas S: Ultrasound guidance improves success rate of axillary brachial plexus block. Can J Anaesth 2007; 54:176-82

12. Butterworth JF, Strichartz GR: Molecular mechanisms of local anesthesia: A review. Anesthesiology 1990; 72:711-34

13. Sunderland S: Nerve and Nerve Injury. 2nd Edition. New York, Churchill Livingstone, 1978, pp 31-2

14. Capelleri G, Aldegheri G, Ruggieri F, Mamo D, Fanelli G, Casati A: Minimum effective anesthetic concentration (MEAC) for sciatic nerve block: Subgluteus and popliteal approaches. Can J Anaesth 2007; 54:283-9

15. Brau ME, Vogel W, Hempelman G: Fundamental properties of local anesthetics: Half-maximal block concentration for tonic block of Na+ and K+ channels in peripheral nerve. Anesth Analg 1998; 87:885-9

16. Biegeleisen PE: Nerve puncture and apparent intraneural injection during ultrasound guided axillary block does not invariably result in neurologic injury. ANESTHESIOLOGY 2006; 105:779-83

17. Schafhalter-Zoppoth I, Zeitz ID, Gray AT: Inadvertent femoral nerve impalement and intraneural injection visualized by ultrasound. Anesth Analg 2004; 99:627-8

18. Sites BD, Spence BC, Gallagher JD, Wiley CW, Bertrand ML, Blike GT: Characterizing novice behavior associated with learning ultrasound-guided peripheral regional anesthesia. Reg Anesth Pain Med 2007; 32:107-15

Copyright © by the American Society of Anesthesiologists. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited