

ERRATUM

In the March 2004 issue of the Journal, in the Pain and Regional Anesthesia article by Ginosar *et al.* entitled “ED₅₀ and ED₉₅ of Intrathecal Hyperbaric Bupivacaine Coadministered with Opioids for Cesarean Delivery” (ANESTHESIOLOGY 2004; 100:676–82), the authors reported the following data:

	ED ₅₀ ± SE (mg)	γ ± SE (mg)	ED ₉₅ ± SE (mg)
Success _(induction)	6.7±0.6	5.7±1.8	11.0
Success _(operation)	7.6±0.4	8.2±2.1	11.2

An error occurred in the data processing, and the corrected ED₅₀ and ED₉₅ values and slopes are reported below.

	ED ₅₀ ± SE (mg)	γ ± SE (mg)	ED ₉₅ ± SE (mg)
Success _(induction)	6.50±0.44	10.3 ±2.8	8.65±0.46
Success _(operation)	7.64±0.45	8.16±2.1	11.0 ±0.95

While we regret the error, it should be noted that there is minimal change in the clinical message from this data: namely that when using a single-shot technique for spinal anesthesia for Cesarean section, doses of intrathecal bupivacaine below the ED₉₅ (11 mg) and particularly below the ED₅₀ (7.6 mg) are likely to lead to an unacceptably high incidence of anesthesia failure.

ERRATUM

In the December 2004 issue of the Journal, in the Case Report by Jacob *et al.* entitled “Transient Profound Neurologic Deficit Associated with Thoracic Epidural Analgesia in an Elderly Patient” (ANESTHESIOLOGY 2004; 101:1470–1), the first sentence of the “Case Report” section currently reads:

A 91 yr-old, 152-cm, 52-kg female was scheduled to undergo elective thoracotomy for repair of a symptomatic type III paraesophageal hiatal hernia using the Belsey Mark intravenous procedure.

This sentence should read:

A 91-yr-old, 152-cm, 52-kg female was scheduled to undergo elective thoracotomy for repair of a symptomatic type III paraesophageal hiatal hernia using the Belsey Mark IV procedure.

The publisher regrets the error.